

Design & Appraisal Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Locked

Overall Rating:	Satisfactory
Decision:	Approve: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. Any management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Portfolio/Project Number:	00118860
Portfolio/Project Title:	Sinergia para fortalecer gestión ANP
Portfolio/Project Date:	2019-05-01 / 2024-12-31

Strategic**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

1. Does the project specify how it will contribute to higher level change through linkage to the programme's Theory of Change?

- 3: *The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has an explicit change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome level change and why the project's strategy will likely lead to this change. This analysis is backed by credible evidence of what works effectively in this context and includes assumptions and risks.*
- 2: The project is clearly linked to the programme's theory of change. It has a change pathway that explains how the project will contribute to outcome-level change and why the project strategy will likely lead to this change.
- 1: The project document may describe in generic terms how the project will contribute to development results, without an explicit link to the programme's theory of change.

Evidence:

En el PRODOC del proyecto contempla una teoría d el cambio la cual está apegada a los propósitos del programa y está sustentada a partir de un análisis s obre las necesidades de fortalecimiento de la CONA NP, las cuales se muestran de la página 2 a la 4 del citado documento, así como del análisis de la colab oración entre PNUD y la CONANP en el tema de for talecimiento de su gestión y operación, la cual se en cuenta en las páginas 16 a la 18

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PRODOCfirmado115497_1195_101 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCfirmado115497_1195_101.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/27/2019 7:57:00 PM

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the [Strategic Plan](#)¹ and adapts at least one [Signature Solution](#)². The project's RRF includes all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project responds to at least one of the development settings as specified in the [Strategic Plan](#)⁴. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project responds to a partner's identified need, but this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

El proyecto responde a con al Plan Estratégico, en - Acelerar las transformaciones estructurales para el desarrollo sostenible y en la solución -Promover soluciones basadas en la naturaleza para un planeta sostenible. Lo anterior se infiere en virtud de que la ejecución del proyecto se centra en el fortalecimiento del manejo efectivo de la áreas naturales protegidas , competencia de la federación, cuyo fin principal conservar la biodiversidad representativa de los ecosistemas para asegurar el equilibrio y la continuidad de los procesos evolutivos y ecológicos y cuyas características no han sido esencialmente modificadas, promoviendo el desarrollo sostenible.

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

3. Is the project linked to the programme outputs? (i.e., UNDAF Results Group Workplan/CPD, RPD or Strategic Plan IRRF for global projects/strategic interventions not part of a programme)

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

EFFECTO DIRECTO 6 (UNDAF 2014-2019): los tres órdenes de gobierno, el sector privado, la academia y la sociedad civil habrán fortalecido sus capacidades para revertir la degradación ambiental y aprovechar de manera sostenible y equitativa los recursos naturales a través de la transversalización de la sostenibilidad ambiental, el desarrollo bajo en emisiones y la economía verde en los procesos de legislación, programación y toma de decisiones.

(CPD 2014-2018) Fomentadas estrategias de desarrollo bajo en emisiones y en riesgos de desastres, resilientes y ambientalmente sostenibles, con enfoque de género y multicultural para la reducción de pobreza.

Producto(s) Indicativo(s) con indicador de género:

GEN1 (Contribución limitada a la igualdad de género)

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

Relevant**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

4. Do the project target groups leave furthest behind?

- 3: The target groups are clearly specified, prioritising discriminated, and marginalized groups left furthest behind, identified through a rigorous process based on evidence.
- 2: *The target groups are clearly specified, prioritizing groups left furthest behind.*
- 1: The target groups are not clearly specified.

Evidence:

De manera indirecta el proyecto contribuye a "no dejar a nadie atrás" ya que fortalecer el manejo efectivo de las ANP implica tomar en cuenta las demandas y características específicas de cada una de ellas, pues poseen una variedad de características biológicas y sociales, presiones y usos distintos. Por ello para alcanzar el manejo efectivo se requiere adoptar objetivos de manejo y sistemas de gobernabilidad adecuados, así como identificar los recursos pertinentes para la ejecución de estrategias de manejo en el momento preciso. Esto deriva en que los habitantes y usuarios de las ANP se involucren, no solo en la conservación de la biodiversidad sino en la búsqueda del desarrollo sustentable a partir del uso responsable de los recursos naturales.

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

5. Have knowledge, good practices, and past lessons learned of UNDP and others informed the project design?

- 3: *Knowledge and lessons learned backed by credible evidence from sources such as evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, and/or monitoring have been explicitly used, with appropriate referencing, to justify the approach used by the project.*
- 2: The project design mentions knowledge and lessons learned backed by evidence/sources but have not been used to justify the approach selected.
- 1: There is little, or no mention of knowledge and lessons learned informing the project design. Any references made are anecdotal and not backed by evidence.

Evidence:

La colaboración del PNUD y la CONANP data desde el año 2002 y el proyecto tomó en cuenta los resultados de los proyectos que desarrollaron en esos años, así como de la situación actual de la CONANP en términos presupuestales y la brecha financiera que existe en sus ANP, para diseñar sus resultados y metas.

Ver páginas 2-5 y 16-18 del PRODOC

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

6. Does UNDP have a clear advantage to engage in the role envisioned by the project vis-à-vis national / regional / global partners and other actors?

- 3: An analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and credible evidence supports the proposed engagement of UNDP and partners through the project, including identification of potential funding partners. It is clear how results achieved by partners will complement the project's intended results and a communication strategy is in place to communicate results and raise visibility vis-à-vis key partners. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have been considered, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- 2: *Some analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area where the project intends to work, and relatively limited evidence supports the proposed engagement of and division of labour between UNDP and partners through the project, with unclear funding and communications strategies or plans.*
- 1: No clear analysis has been conducted on the role of other partners in the area that the project intends to work. There is risk that the project overlaps and/or does not coordinate with partners' interventions in this area. Options for south-south and triangular cooperation have not been considered, despite its potential relevance.

Evidence:

El proyecto cuenta con una comunicación cercana con los proyectos: Resiliencia, Especies en Riesgo y Especies Prioritarias que la CONANP tiene con PNUD, con los cuales en el proyecto 0089948, que le antecede, realizó reuniones estratégicas para posicionarse como un frente común ante la CONANP, De lo anterior se derivó una infografía y un video en conjunto.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Principled**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

7. Does the project apply a human rights-based approach?

- 3: The project is guided by human rights and incorporates the principles of accountability, meaningful participation, and non-discrimination in the project's strategy. The project upholds the relevant international and national laws and standards. Any potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were rigorously identified and assessed as relevant, with appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project is guided by human rights by prioritizing accountability, meaningful participation and non-discrimination. Potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were identified and assessed as relevant, and appropriate mitigation and management measures incorporated into the project design and budget. (both must be true)*
- 1: No evidence that the project is guided by human rights. Limited or no evidence that potential adverse impacts on enjoyment of human rights were considered.

Evidence:

El proyecto al propiciar, fomentar y contribuir la conservación de la biodiversidad y al manejo sustentable de los recursos naturales en búsqueda del desarrollo de los habitantes y usuarios de las ANP, a través del fortalecimiento del manejo efectivo de las ANP, contribuye a que esos habitantes y usuarios cuenten con el derecho humano tengan un medio ambiente sano.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

8. Does the project use gender analysis in the project design?

- 3: A participatory gender analysis has been conducted and results from this gender analysis inform the development challenge, strategy and expected results sections of the project document. Outputs and indicators of the results framework include explicit references to gender equality, and specific indicators measure and monitor results to ensure women are fully benefitting from the project. (all must be true)
- 2: *A basic gender analysis has been carried out and results from this analysis are scattered (i.e., fragmented and not consistent) across the development challenge and strategy sections of the project document. The results framework may include some gender sensitive outputs and/or activities but gender inequalities are not consistently integrated across each output. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project design may or may not mention information and/or data on the differential impact of the project's development situation on gender relations, women and men, but the gender inequalities have not been clearly identified and reflected in the project document.

Evidence:

El proyecto puede aportar información desagregada por mujer y hombre en algunos productos y/o actividades, sin embargo en su marco de resultados las desigualdades de género no se integran de manera consistente en cada producto.

Ver indicadores y productos del Componente 1 del Resultado 2 y del Componente 2 del Resultado 1. La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

9. Did the project support the resilience and sustainability of societies and/or ecosystems?

- 3: Credible evidence that the project addresses sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges, which are integrated in the project strategy and design. The project reflects the interconnections between the social, economic and environmental dimensions of sustainable development. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and rigorously assessed with appropriate management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project design integrates sustainability and resilience dimensions of development challenges. Relevant shocks, hazards and adverse social and environmental impacts have been identified and assessed, and relevant management and mitigation measures incorporated into project design and budget. (both must be true)*
- 1: Sustainability and resilience dimensions and impacts were not adequately considered.

Evidence:

El proyecto identificó y evaluó las perturbaciones, los peligros y los impactos sociales y ambientales adversos e incorporó medidas de gestión y mitigación relevantes en el "Modelo de Diagnóstico Social y Ambiental"

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Anexo2ModelodeDiagnósticoSocioAmbientaSinergia_1195_109 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Anexo2ModelodeDiagnósticoSocioAmbientaSinergia_1195_109.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 4:47:00 PM

10. Has the Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) been conducted to identify potential social and environmental impacts and risks? The SESP is not required for projects in which UNDP is Administrative Agent only and/or projects comprised solely of reports, coordination of events, trainings, workshops, meetings, conferences and/or communication materials and information dissemination. [if yes, upload the completed checklist. If SESP is not required, provide the reason for the exemption in the evidence section.]

- Yes
- No
- SESP not required because project consists solely of (Select all exemption criteria that apply)
- 1: Preparation and dissemination of reports, documents and communication materials
 - 2: Organization of an event, workshop, training
 - 3: Strengthening capacities of partners to participate in international negotiations and conferences
 - 4: Partnership coordination (including UN coordination) and management of networks
 - 5: Global/regional projects with no country level activities (e.g. knowledge management, inter-governmental processes)
 - 6: UNDP acting as Administrative Agent

Evidence:

Se llevó a cabo procedimiento de detección social y ambiental (SESP) para identificar posibles impactos y riesgos sociales y ambientales a través del "Modelo de Diagnóstico Social y Ambiental"

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Risk Category	Risk Requirements	Document Status	Modified By	Modified On
1	Anexo2ModeloDeDiagnosticoSocioAmbientaSinergia_1195_109_1195_110.pdf	Moderate	Human Rights; Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment; Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management; Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation; Indigenous Peoples	Draft	alicia.lopez@undp.org	1/7/2020 11:00:00 PM

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: **Exemplary**

11. Does the project have a strong results framework?

- 3: *The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the key expected development changes, each with credible data sources and populated baselines and targets, including gender sensitive, target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators where appropriate. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project's selection of outputs and activities are at an appropriate level. Outputs are accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators, but baselines, targets and data sources may not yet be fully specified. Some use of target group focused, sex-disaggregated indicators, as appropriate. (all must be true)
- 1: The project's selection of outputs and activities are not at an appropriate level; outputs are not accompanied by SMART, results-oriented indicators that measure the expected change and have not been populated with baselines and targets; data sources are not specified, and/or no gender sensitive, sex-disaggregation of indicators. (if any is true)

Evidence:

La selección de productos y actividades del proyecto o se encuentra en un nivel apropiado. Los resultados están acompañados por indicadores SMART orientados a resultados que miden los cambios clave de desarrollo esperados.

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1 (ver páginas de la 20 a la 31)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

12. Is the project's governance mechanism clearly defined in the project document, including composition of the project board?

- 3: *The project's governance mechanism is fully defined. Individuals have been specified for each position in the governance mechanism (especially all members of the project board.) Project Board members have agreed on their roles and responsibilities as specified in the terms of reference. The ToR of the project board has been attached to the project document. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project's governance mechanism is defined; specific institutions are noted as holding key governance roles, but individuals may not have been specified yet. The project document lists the most important responsibilities of the project board, project director/manager and quality assurance roles. (all must be true)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism is loosely defined in the project document, only mentioning key roles that will need to be filled at a later date. No information on the responsibilities of key positions in the governance mechanism is provided.

Evidence:

El mecanismo de gobernanza del proyecto está completamente definido. Se han especificado individuos para cada puesto en el mecanismo de gobernanza (especialmente todos los miembros de la junta del proyecto). Los miembros de la Junta del Proyecto han acordado sus roles y responsabilidades según lo especificado en los términos de referencia.

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1 (ver páginas de la 41 a la 44)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Anexo4TérminosdeReferenciaJuntadeProyectoinstanciasgerenciales_Sinergia_1195_112 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA/FormDocuments/Anexo4TérminosdeReferenciaJuntadeProyectoinstanciasgerenciales_Sinergia_1195_112.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 7:20:00 PM

13. Have the project risks been identified with clear plans stated to manage and mitigate each risk?

- 3: *Project risks related to the achievement of results are fully described in the project risk log, based on comprehensive analysis drawing on the programme's theory of change, Social and Environmental Standards and screening, situation analysis, capacity assessments and other analysis such as funding potential and reputational risk. Risks have been identified through a consultative process with key internal and external stakeholders, including consultation with the UNDP Security Office as required. Clear and complete plan in place to manage and mitigate each risk, including security risks, reflected in project budgeting and monitoring plans. (both must be true)*
- 2: Project risks related to the achievement of results are identified in the initial project risk log based on a minimum level of analysis and consultation, with mitigation measures identified for each risk.
- 1: Some risks may be identified in the initial project risk log, but no evidence of consultation or analysis and no clear risk mitigation measures identified. This option is also selected if risks are not clearly identified, no initial risk log is included with the project document and/or no security risk management process has taken place for the project.

Evidence:

Los riesgos del proyecto se describen en la matriz de riesgos, la cual es un anexo del PRODOC. Estos se basaron en la teoría del cambio del programa, el diagnóstico socio-ambiental, así como las condiciones presupuestales de la contraparte.

Parte de la evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1 (ver páginas de la 13 a la 14)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Anexo3AnálisisdeRiesgosSinergia_1195_113 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA/FormDocuments/Anexo3AnálisisdeRiesgosSinergia_1195_113.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 7:55:00 PM

Efficient**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

14. Have specific measures for ensuring cost-efficient use of resources been explicitly mentioned as part of the project design? This can include, for example:

- i) Using the theory of change analysis to explore different options of achieving the maximum results with the resources available.
- ii) Using a portfolio management approach to improve cost effectiveness through synergies with other interventions.
- iii) Through joint operations (e.g., monitoring or procurement) with other partners.
- iv) Sharing resources or coordinating delivery with other projects.
- v) Using innovative approaches and technologies to reduce the cost of service delivery or other types of interventions.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

El proyecto analizará las diferentes opciones para lograr los máximos resultados con los recursos disponibles. Asimismo en su apartado "Alianzas del PRODOC" se señalan las que podrán contribuir a ampliar el alcance del proyecto, incluyendo los proyectos de colaboración que el PNUD tiene con la CONANP, además de SINERGIA+

Parte de la evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1 (ver página 13)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

15. Is the budget justified and supported with valid estimates?

- 3: *The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, and is specified for the duration of the project period in a multi-year budget. Realistic resource mobilisation plans are in place to fill unfunded components. Costs are supported with valid estimates using benchmarks from similar projects or activities. Cost implications from inflation and foreign exchange exposure have been estimated and incorporated in the budget. Adequate costs for monitoring, evaluation, communications and security have been incorporated.*
- 2: The project's budget is at the activity level with funding sources, when possible, and is specified for the duration of the project in a multi-year budget, but no funding plan is in place. Costs are supported with valid estimates based on prevailing rates.
- 1: The project's budget is not specified at the activity level, and/or may not be captured in a multi-year budget.

Evidence:

-El presupuesto del Proyecto está en el nivel de actividad en la cual se identifica la fuente de financiamiento y se especifica para su duración que es un presupuesto plurianual (3 años).

No existen Resultados que no cuenten con financiamiento.

Los costos del Proyecto se hicieron tomando en cuenta el ejercicio del Proyecto 00089948.

El costo del Proyecto considera el cambio de divisas e incorpora los costos de monitoreo, evaluación, comunicaciones y seguridad.

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1 (ver páginas de la 34 a la 40)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

16. Is the Country Office / Regional Hub / Global Project fully recovering the costs involved with project implementation?

- 3: *The budget fully covers all project costs that are attributable to the project, including programme management and development effectiveness services related to strategic country programme planning, quality assurance, pipeline development, policy advocacy services, finance, procurement, human resources, administration, issuance of contracts, security, travel, assets, general services, information and communications based on full costing in accordance with prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL.)*
- 2: The budget covers significant project costs that are attributable to the project based on prevailing UNDP policies (i.e., UPL, LPL) as relevant.
- 1: The budget does not adequately cover project costs that are attributable to the project, and UNDP is cross-subsidizing the project.

Evidence:

El presupuesto cubre los costos significativos del proyecto que son atribuibles al proyecto en función de las políticas vigentes del PNUD (es decir, UPL, LPL) según corresponda.

Además del Plan de Trabajo del Proyecto (páginas 34-40) del PRODOC, en donde se define el costo por Resultado y actividades para el logro de metas comprometidos en los indicadores, para el 2019 el proyecto cuenta con la revisión presupuestal correspondiente en el cual se detalla el presupuesto por cuenta. Para el 2020 y 2021 se desarrollarán las revisiones presupuestales correspondientes.

Parte de la evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, en el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1 (ver páginas de la 34 a la 40)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	RevisiónpresupuestalSINERGIAcon7.0distribuidos_vf_1195_116 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevisiónpresupuestalSINERGIAcon7.0distribuidos_vf_1195_116.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 9:17:00 PM

Effective**Quality Rating: Needs Improvement**

17. Have targeted groups been engaged in the design of the project?

- 3: Credible evidence that all targeted groups, prioritising discriminated and marginalized populations that will be involved in or affected by the project, have been actively engaged in the design of the project. The project has an explicit strategy to identify, engage and ensure the meaningful participation of target groups as stakeholders throughout the project, including through monitoring and decision-making (e.g., representation on the project board, inclusion in samples for evaluations, etc.)
- 2: *Some evidence that key targeted groups have been consulted in the design of the project.*
- 1: No evidence of engagement with targeted groups during project design.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

-El diseño del Proyecto, así como el PRODOC y su MRR tomó en cuenta a las Direcciones Generales y Sustantivas de la CONANP responsables de establecer las líneas estratégicas de trabajo que se llevará a cabo en las ANP. Si bien los grupos de discriminados y marginados no son el objetivo de atención del proyecto, las ANP dan servicios a estos grupos, de manera indirecta, tanto con los programas de subsidio que se aplican en zonas de alta marginación como a través de su manejo efectivo, el cual se fortalecerá a partir del proyecto y el cual requiere el adoptar objetivos de manejo y sistemas de gobernabilidad adecuados.

El proyecto en su diseño cuenta con una estructura de gobernanza a través de su Junta del Proyecto y una instancia denominada "Comité Técnico" el cual es un órgano interno de la CONANP, que se reunirá por lo menos cuatro veces al año para: Revisar y evaluar el avance en las actividades y cumplimiento de objetivos del Proyecto a partir de la información de las áreas y personal involucrado; Establecer, enfocar o rediseñar la estrategia del proyecto; Analizar o en su caso resolver asuntos relacionados con el avance de las actividades del proyecto, a fin de darle seguimiento al plan de trabajo y cumplir con los indicadores de desempeño; Monitorear la situación del presupuesto, así como la aportación oportuna de los insumos financieros, humanos y técnicos para el cumplimiento del plan de trabajo; Establecer su calendario de reuniones ordinarias y acordar las reuniones extraordinarias que se requieran para atender sus propias funciones.

Ver Resultados 1 y 2 del PRODOC, así como sus "Areglos de Gestión y Gobernanza" establecidos de la página 41 a la 44.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
---	-----------	-------------	-------------

No documents available.

18. Does the project plan for adaptation and course correction if regular monitoring activities, evaluation, and lesson learned demonstrate there are better approaches to achieve the intended results and/or circumstances change during implementation?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

-Si, a través de los resultados de la Junta del Proyecto y del Comité Técnico.

Ver Resultados los "Arreglos de Gestión y Gobernanza" establecidos de la página 41 a la 44 del PRODOC.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

19. The gender marker for all project outputs are scored at GEN2 or GEN3, indicating that gender has been fully mainstreamed into all project outputs at a minimum.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

El proyecto puede aportar información desagregada por mujer y hombre en algunos productos y/o actividades, sin embargo en su marco de resultados las desigualdades de género no se integran de manera consistente en cada producto.

Lo anterior derivado a que el proyecto se enfoca principalmente al fortalecimiento institucional.

Ver indicadores y productos del Componente 1 del Resultado 2 y del Componente 2 del Resultado 1. La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1

Management Response:

El proyecto se enfoca principalmente al fortalecimiento institucional, por lo que no se han colocado marcadores de género nivel 2 o 3 a nivel producto. No obstante, se tomarán medidas para procurar la equidad de género en los procesos del proyecto.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Sustainability & National Ownership**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

20. Have national / regional / global partners led, or proactively engaged in, the design of the project?

- 3: *National partners (or regional/global partners for regional and global projects) have full ownership of the project and led the process of the development of the project jointly with UNDP.*
- 2: The project has been developed by UNDP in close consultation with national / regional / global partners.
- 1: The project has been developed by UNDP with limited or no engagement with national partners.

Evidence:

El Proyecto es tiene la categoría NIM por lo que el a sociado en la implementación, la CONANP, es quien liderea, junto con el PNUD el proceso de desarrollo del mismo.

El diseño del Proyecto, así como el PRODOC y su MRR tomó en cuenta a las Direcciones Generales y Sustantivas de la CONANP responsables de establecer las líneas estratégicas de trabajo que se lleven a cabo en las ANP.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MemorandoDGORcomentandoPRODOC_1_1195_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MemorandoDGORcomentandoPRODOC_1_1195_120.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 9:53:00 PM
2	MemorandoDGORcomentandoPRODOC_1195_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MemorandoDGORcomentandoPRODOC_1195_120.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 9:53:00 PM
3	MemorandoDGDIPcomentandoPRODOC_1_1195_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MemorandoDGDIPcomentandoPRODOC_1_1195_120.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 9:53:00 PM
4	MemorandoDGCDcomentandoPRODOC_1_1195_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MemorandoDGCDcomentandoPRODOC_1_1195_120.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 9:53:00 PM
5	MemorandoDGCDcomentandoPRODOC_1195_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MemorandoDGCDcomentandoPRODOC_1195_120.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 9:54:00 PM
6	MemorandoDEScomentandoPRODOC_1195_120 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MemorandoDEScomentandoPRODOC_1195_120.pdf)	rosalba.obregon@undp.org	9/30/2019 9:54:00 PM

21. Are key institutions and systems identified, and is there a strategy for strengthening specific / comprehensive capacities based on capacity assessments conducted?

- 3: *The project has a strategy for strengthening specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on a completed capacity assessment. This strategy includes an approach to regularly monitor national capacities using clear indicators and rigorous methods of data collection, and adjust the strategy to strengthen national capacities accordingly.*
- 2: A capacity assessment has been completed. There are plans to develop a strategy to strengthen specific capacities of national institutions and/or actors based on the results of the capacity assessment.
- 1: Capacity assessments have not been carried out.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

-El Proyecto llevará a cabo una estrategia para fortalecer las capacidades específicas de las instituciones y / o actores nacionales a partir de los resultados derivados de las acciones que se llevan a cabo en el marco del apartado de "Evaluación y Seguimiento" establecidos en el PRODOC, los cuales estarán debidamente documentados.

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1 (ver páginas de la 32 y 33)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

22. Is there is a clear strategy embedded in the project specifying how the project will use national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluations, etc.) to the extent possible?

- Yes
 No
 Not Applicable

Evidence:

Existe una estrategia clara sobre cómo utilizará los sistemas nacionales.

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1 (ver páginas de la 12, de la 34 a la 40 y 32 y 33)

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

23. Is there a clear transition arrangement / phase-out plan developed with key stakeholders in order to sustain or scale up results (including resource mobilisation and communications strategy)?

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

El Proyecto está definido para durar 3 años con la finalidad de evaluar resultados y proponer una extensión o redefinir un nuevo proyecto que permita encausar o potenciar los resultados obtenidos o en su caso trazar nuevos retos para la CONANP y sus ANP.

La evidencia es el PRODOC del proyecto, el cual se encuentra ya registrado en el punto 1

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MinutaPACaDistancia_SinergiaPlus_mayo2019_pfirma_1195_123 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MinutaPACaDistancia_SinergiaPlus_mayo2019_pfirma_1195_123 .pdf)	alicia.lopez@undp.org	1/7/2020 10:46:00 PM

QA Summary/LPAC Comments

Proceso de aseguramiento de calidad en fase de diseño. Se adjunta minuta del proceso llevado a cabo en mayo de 2019. El proceso se documentó en el formato 2018 con anterioridad. La recomendación del comité de proyecto fue en el sentido de APROBAR con modificaciones