

## Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

---

**Form Status: Approved**

<b>Overall Rating:</b>	Needs Improvement
<b>Decision:</b>	
<b>Portfolio/Project Number:</b>	00126723
<b>Portfolio/Project Title:</b>	Anticorrupción e igualdad INFONAVIT
<b>Portfolio/Project Date:</b>	2020-04-06 / 2021-06-15

**Strategic****Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- ☐ 3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

**Evidence:**

Constan las distintas reuniones entre PNUD y el INF ONAVIT durante la implementación que propiciaron algunos ajustes en la operación del proyecto, sin que derivaran en revisiones sustantivas.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCInfonavit_9692_301.pdf">PRODOCInfonavit_9692_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCInfonavit_9692_301.pdf)</a>	alejandro.gonzalez@undp.org	10/1/2021 4:38:00 AM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- ☒ 3: *The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

**Evidence:**

El proyecto responde al área de trabajo número 2: Acelerar las transformaciones estructurales para el desarrollo sostenible mediante el fortalecimiento de los sistemas de gobernanza del país. Está alineado con las soluciones emblemáticas 2: fortalecer la gobernanza eficaz, inclusiva y responsable y 6: fortalecer la igualdad de género y el empoderamiento de las mujeres y las niñas. Se detalla en la sección Estrategia.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCInfonavit_9692_302.pdf">PRODOCInfonavit_9692_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCInfonavit_9692_302.pdf)</a>	alejandro.gonzalez@undp.org	10/5/2021 5:58:00 AM

**Relevant****Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- ☐ 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- ☐ 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- ☐ Not Applicable