

Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Overall Rating: | Highly Satisfactory |
| Decision: | |
| Portfolio/Project Number: | 00044296 |
| Portfolio/Project Title: | FONDO MUNDIAL |
| Portfolio/Project Date: | 2006-01-01 / 2020-12-31 |

Strategic

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- ☐ 3: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

El equipo del Proyecto ha identificado cambios relevantes en el entorno externo marcados por un proceso coyuntural, las elecciones generales del pasado 20 de octubre de 2019 en el Estado Plurinacional de Bolivia, las mismas que fueron empañadas por denuncias de irregularidades presentadas ante ciertas instancias por actores nacionales e internacionales. Provocando conflictos políticos sociales y emergiendo de todo ello un gobierno de transición para promover nuevas elecciones. Esto consecuentemente ha producido cambios de autoridades en el Ministerio de Salud y cambios en la estructura de los programas, que a su vez representaron amenazas en el cumplimiento final de los planes de proyecto pero que fueron revertidos convirtiéndose en oportunidades de reformulación de los mismos priorizando planes que respondan a la coyuntura y al cumplimiento de los objetivos.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 1.AntecedentesConflictoBolivia_2872_201_5607_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/1.AntecedentesConflictoBolivia_2872_201_5607_301.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/7/2020 11:58:00 PM |
| 2 | 2.SOLICITUDDEEXTENSIÓNEXTRAORDINARIAUNDPBOL_5607_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2.SOLICITUDDEEXTENSIÓNEXTRAORDINARIAUNDPBOL_5607_301.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 3:52:00 PM |

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- ☒ **3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution. The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)**
- ☐ 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings¹ as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

El Proyecto “BOLIVIA LIBRE DE TUBERCULOSIS”, está alineado a:

Efecto (UNDAF) 3. Las instituciones estatales han diseñado e implementado políticas eficientes y efectivas que promueven la coexistencia no violenta con acceso equitativo a justicia de calidad, seguridad ciudadana y servicios públicos sectoriales, con un diálogo permanente y la participación efectiva de una sociedad civil más capacitada

Resultado Esperado CDP:

Indicador 1.6. Tasa de incidencia de tuberculosis (por 100.000 habitantes)

Producto Esperado CDP: Producto 1.5. Aumento de la capacidad de los programas nacionales (salud) y de sus grupos de interés para adoptar medidas eficaces de prevención, diagnóstico y tratamiento de la malaria y la tuberculosis

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 3AnnualWorkplanTemplateBolivia2018TUBERCULOSIS_5607_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3AnnualWorkplanTemplateBolivia2018TUBERCULOSIS_5607_302.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/7/2020 11:59:00 PM |
| 2 | 4.AnnualWorkplanTemplateBolivia2019TUBERCULOSIS_5607_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/4.AnnualWorkplanTemplateBolivia2019TUBERCULOSIS_5607_302.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/7/2020 11:59:00 PM |
| 3 | 5.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2019_5607_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/5.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2019_5607_302.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:00:00 AM |

Relevant**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- ☒ 3: *Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- ☐ 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

El Proyecto Bolivia Libre de Tuberculosis cumple con los principios de ONUSIDA (MIPA- Mayor Involucramiento de las personas (Afectadas), así como los requisitos de elegibilidad pues se encuentra enfocada a poblaciones priorizadas y a intervenciones de mayor repercusión. La cobertura es a nivel nacional y ha sido estructurado en función de un Plan Estratégico Nacional y con un proceso participativo en el que se incluyeron diferentes actores para el Control de la Tuberculosis, entre ellos están: La Sociedad Civil afectada Representada por la Asociación de Pacientes con Tuberculosis (ASPACONT) y vulnerable representada por los Consejos Sociales de Salud, FFAA, Regimen Penitenciario, Programas ITS/VIH/Sida, Mecanismo de Coordinación País (MCP); Programas Departamentales de Control de la Tuberculosis (PDCT), Programa Nacional de Control de la Tuberculosis (PNCT), INLASA.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 6.PlanNacionaldelcontroldeTB2016_2020_5607_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/6.PlanNacionaldelcontroldeTB2016_2020_5607_303.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:04:00 AM |
| 2 | 7.FMRdelaSociedadCivilTB_5607_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/7.FMRdelaSociedadCivilTB_5607_303.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:04:00 AM |
| 3 | 8.ReuniónevaluaciónaccionescolaborativasTB-VIH_5607_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/8.ReuniónevaluaciónaccionescolaborativasTB-VIH_5607_303.PDF) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:05:00 AM |
| 4 | 9.DocumentoPrisionesBolivia-FM_5607_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/9.DocumentoPrisionesBolivia-FM_5607_303.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:05:00 AM |
| 5 | 10.ActaTB-VIH_5607_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/10.ActaTB-VIH_5607_303.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:05:00 AM |
| 6 | 11.ReuniónSociedadCivil23-07-2018_5607_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/11.ReuniónSociedadCivil23-07-2018_5607_303.PDF) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:05:00 AM |
| 7 | 12.EvaluaciónNal.PPL2019_5607_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/12.EvaluaciónNal.PPL2019_5607_303.PDF) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:06:00 AM |

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- ☐ 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- ☒ 2: *Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

El proyecto ha generado conocimiento y lecciones aprendidas, durante la implementación de este proyecto se han identificado buenas prácticas y también lecciones aprendidas mismas que están siendo capitalizadas a través de memorias de los proyectos del Fondo Mundial.

La importancia de visibilizar los proyectos a nivel institucional y de manera externa es prioritaria dado los antecedentes de impacto que se han generado durante los últimos años. Es así que será trascendental reflejar los logros del PNUD y sus contrapartes a través de una memoria institucional para asegurar que la institución incorpore activos tangibles a su historia de trabajo y socializar el trabajo efectivo, en marco de ambos proyectos, tanto al organismo financiador, como a las contrapartes y aliados estratégicos. Se espera que con los documentos de memoria institucional se preserve y difunda la información y el conocimiento generado por el trabajo de larga data del PNUD y su unidad administradora de proyectos del Fondo Mundial. Los problemas sociales de octubre de 2019 han retrasado el cumplimiento de este trabajo mismo que será realizado una vez la Emergencia Sanitaria permita el libre desplazamiento en todo el territorio Nacional.

Para este fin se cuenta con la planificación de memorias realizado el 2019 y la reformulación del mismo, realizado el 2020.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 13.PlanificaciónmemoriasProyectosFM_2019_5607_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/13.PlanificaciónmemoriasProyectosFM_2019_5607_304.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:07:00 AM |
| 2 | 14.Anexo1CronogramatrabajodecampoMemorias_5607_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/14.Anexo1CronogramatrabajodecampoMemorias_5607_304.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:07:00 AM |
| 3 | 15.PlanificaciónmemoriasReprogramado2020_5607_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/15.PlanificaciónmemoriasReprogramado2020_5607_304.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:07:00 AM |

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- ☒ 3: *There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.*
- ☐ 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- ☐ 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

El Fondo Mundial ha evaluado los logros alcanzados por el proyecto y ha comunicado al país que continuara apoyando con una nueva asignación de recursos hasta el 2025. Esta nueva asignación permitirá contribuir de manera más significativa al desarrollo de cambio. Se adjunta comunicado del Financiador.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 16.Bolivia_2020-2022_Allocation_Letter_Courtesy_Translation_SP_2872_205_5607_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA/FormDocuments/16.Bolivia_2020-2022_Allocation_Letter_Courtesy_Translation_SP_2872_205_5607_305.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:09:00 AM |

Principled**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- ☐ 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

El proyecto establece acciones específicas en las cuales se contempla un enfoque de género con tres acciones principales:

1. La estrategia comunicacional de Tuberculosis tiene como un enfoque principal la transversal de género explicitada en los TDR de la estrategia y plasmada en el avance de la misma. Considerando como actor principal a las mujeres que tienen bajo su liderazgo la salud de los miembros de cada hogar.
2. Involucramiento de la mujer en dos niveles de implementación:

Estratégico y Táctico: Participación en el proceso de construcción del proyecto de manera permanente (50% de participación de mujeres en los procesos de planificación del proyecto – Conformación del Comité Ampliado para la Nota Conceptual y el Dialogo país)

Operativo: Participación en la respuesta a debilidades de la estructura de servicios de salud, para brindar servicios de diagnóstico rápido y tratamiento oportuno de la Tuberculosis, y la implementación de los Ciclos Cortos de mejora continua de la Calidad, niveles en que la participación de las mujeres es de un 60%.

3. Planes de Monitoreo que incluyen la desagregación de la información por género.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 20.RRHHTuberculosis2020-2022_5607_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/20.RRHHTuberculosis2020-2022_5607_306.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:11:00 AM |
| 2 | 17.TDREstrategiaComunicacionalTB_5607_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/17.TDREstrategiaComunicacionalTB_5607_306.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:11:00 AM |
| 3 | 18.EstrategiaComunicacionalTB_5607_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/18.EstrategiaComunicacionalTB_5607_306.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:11:00 AM |
| 4 | 19.PlandeMonitoreoEvaluación_5607_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/19.PlandeMonitoreoEvaluación_5607_306.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:11:00 AM |

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- ☐ 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.*
- ☐ 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

La estrategia del Proyecto considera plenamente la sostenibilidad ambiental. El enfoque de gestión de riesgos incluye los posibles riesgos ambientales y la forma en que el proyecto garantizará que se lleve a cabo una evaluación adecuada y se establezcan medidas de gestión.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 21.EvaluaciónSESPdelProyectoBOL_101403_5607_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/21.EvaluaciónSESPdelProyectoBOL_101403_5607_307.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:13:00 AM |
| 2 | 22.InformeSESFortalecimienolaboratoriosCbba-Chq._5607_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/22.InformeSESFortalecimienolaboratoriosCbba-Chq._5607_307.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:13:00 AM |
| 3 | 23.PlandegarantíadelacalidadMedicamentosySuministrosQAPBOLIVIAPNUD_5607_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/23.PlandegarantíadelacalidadMedicamentosySuministrosQAPBOLIVIAPNUD_5607_307.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:14:00 AM |

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- ☒ 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- ☐ 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- ☐ 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Se ha informado activamente a las personas afectadas de Tuberculosis a través de la Asociación de Pacientes Contra la Tuberculosis (ASPACONT) y la población vulnerable a través de los representantes de los Consejos sociales de Salud, se han recibido quejas, las mismas se abordan de manera efectiva en diferentes escenarios de dialogo. La participación de la sociedad civil en todas las actividades del proyecto garantiza que todas las observaciones sean abordadas. Así mismo se tiene un comité de monitoreo estratégico del Mecanismo de Coordinación de país que viabiliza este tema.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 7.FMRoldelaSociedadCivilTB_5607_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/7.FMRoldelaSociedadCivilTB_5607_308.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:15:00 AM |
| 2 | 11.ReuniónSociedadCivil23-07-2018_5607_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/11.ReuniónSociedadCivil23-07-2018_5607_308.PDF) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:15:00 AM |
| 3 | 24.AutoevaluacióndelaSoc.CivilPlandeFortalecimientoTB_5607_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/24.AutoevaluacióndelaSoc.CivilPlandeFortalecimientoTB_5607_308.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:15:00 AM |

Management & Monitoring**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- ☒ 3: *The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

El proyecto cuenta con un plan de M&E integral y co
steado enmarcado en el marco de desempeño del p
royecto. Se ha remitido al financiador el avance de e
stos indicadores en el marco del Plan de M&E. Se a
djunta Plan de M&E, Marco de Desempeño del proy
ecto y reporte enviado al financiador.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 25.PlandeMonitoreoEvaluación_5607_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/25.PlandeMonitoreoEvaluación_5607_309.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:16:00 AM |
| 2 | 26BOL-T-UNDP_PUDR_31Dec2017__5607_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/26BOL-T-UNDP_PUDR_31Dec2017__5607_309.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:16:00 AM |
| 3 | 27.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2018_5607_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/27.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2018_5607_309.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:17:00 AM |
| 4 | 28.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2019_5607_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/28.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2019_5607_309.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:17:00 AM |

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- ☒ 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- ☐ 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- ☐ 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

El mecanismo de gobernanza recae en el Mecanismo de Coordinación País (MCP) que cuenta con un dashboard para su seguimiento y evaluación.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 29.GuiaTablerodeMandoIntegral_2646_112_2872_210_5607_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/29.GuiaTablerodeMandoIntegral_2646_112_2872_210_5607_310.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:18:00 AM |
| 2 | 30.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis2017_5607_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/30.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis2017_5607_310.PDF) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:18:00 AM |
| 3 | 31.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis2018_5607_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/31.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis2018_5607_310.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:18:00 AM |
| 4 | 32.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis_5607_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/32.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis_5607_310.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:18:00 AM |

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- ☒ 3: *The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- ☐ 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence:

El Proyecto cuenta con una Matriz de riesgos desde el inicio, la misma ha sido actualizada para reflejar la última evaluación de riesgos.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 33.MATRIZDERIESGOSTBACTUALIZADA_5607_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/33.MATRIZDERIESGOSTBACTUALIZADA_5607_311.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:20:00 AM |

Efficient**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Evidence:

Los recursos fueron movilizados adecuadamente para el logro de los resultados. Se adjunta informe sobre el avance de indicadores del proyecto y la evidencia de la movilización de recursos

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 26BOL-T-UNDP_PUDR_31Dec2017__5607_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/26BOL-T-UNDP_PUDR_31Dec2017__5607_312.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:21:00 AM |
| 2 | 27.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2018_5607_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/27.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2018_5607_312.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:21:00 AM |
| 3 | 28.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2019_5607_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/28.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2019_5607_312.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:21:00 AM |

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- ☒ 3: *The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

El proyecto tiene un plan de adquisiciones actualizado. La implementación del plan está dentro o antes de lo previsto, se realiza el seguimiento en base a la herramienta Smartsheet que realiza el seguimiento al Plan de Adquisiciones

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 37.FM_Smartsheet_Pharma_HealthProducts Equip1_2872_213_5607_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/37.FM_Smartsheet_Pharma_HealthProductsEquip1_2872_213_5607_313.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:23:00 AM |
| 2 | 36.PLANDEADQUISICIONES2019_5607_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/36.PLANDEADQUISICIONES2019_5607_313.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:23:00 AM |
| 3 | 35.PlanADQTB08.02.2018_5607_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/35.PlanADQTB08.02.2018_5607_313.xlsm) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:22:00 AM |
| 4 | 34.2017.01.25FondoMundialProcurementPlanningTool_2017_BoliviaTBFinal_5607_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/34.2017.01.25FondoMundialProcurementPlanningTool_2017_BoliviaTBFinal_5607_313.xlsm) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:22:00 AM |

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- ☒ 3: *There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- ☐ 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

El proyecto revisa regularmente los costos en comparación con los comparadores relevantes, de los cuales existe evidencia, se ha generado estudios de medición del Gasto en Tuberculosis, este documento proporciona información para garantizar que los costos sean razonables.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 39.ProyeccióndelgastoenTBCofinc.2019-2025_5607_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/39.ProyeccióndelgastoenTBCofinc.2019-2025_5607_314.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:24:00 AM |
| 2 | 38.MedicióndelgastoenTB2015-2018_5607_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/38.MedicióndelgastoenTB2015-2018_5607_314.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:24:00 AM |

Effective**Quality Rating: Exemplary**

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Evidence:

El Proyecto estuvo en marcha desde la gestión 2017 – 2019, entregando de manera oportuna los resultados esperados como se evidencia en los anexos 26,27,28 sumándose a ello la Carta de retroalimentación que se recibe anualmente del Financiador con la calificación obtenida en cuanto a resultados y la respuesta a la misma.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 40.BOL-T-UNDP_Cartaderetroalimentación de progreso_2019-signedCrom_5607_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/40.BOL-T-UNDP_Cartaderetroalimentación de progreso_2019-signedCrom_5607_315.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:25:00 AM |
| 2 | 41.RESPUESTACARTADERETROALIMENTACIONFMTUBERCULOSIS2020.05.06_5607_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/41.RESPUESTACARTADERETROALIMENTACIONFMTUBERCULOSIS2020.05.06_5607_315.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:25:00 AM |

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- ☒ 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- ☐ 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- ☐ 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

Los datos de progreso trimestrales han informado revisiones periódicas del plan de trabajo del proyecto para garantizar que las actividades implementadas tengan más probabilidades de lograr los resultados deseados. Se adjunta guía de seguimiento estratégico y evidencia de revisión de plan de trabajo.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 29.GuiaTablerodeMandoIntegral_2646_112_2872_210_5607_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/29.GuiaTablerodeMandoIntegral_2646_112_2872_210_5607_316.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:26:00 AM |
| 2 | 32.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis_5607_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/32.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis_5607_316.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:26:00 AM |

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- ☒ 3: *The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

En el marco del Plan Estratégico Nacional, el Proyecto tiene cobertura Nacional y está dirigido a toda la población en general, priorizando a grupos específicos con mayor vulnerabilidad identificadas mediante el uso de fuentes de datos creíbles que evidencian la llegada a grupos objetivo.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 42.GruposobjetivoTB_GX_5607_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/42.GruposobjetivoTB_GX_5607_317.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:27:00 AM |
| 2 | 43.MonitoreoGruposObjetivoGX_TB2017_5607_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/43.MonitoreoGruposObjetivoGX_TB2017_5607_317.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:27:00 AM |
| 3 | 44.MonitoreoGruposObjetivoGX_TB2018_5607_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/44.MonitoreoGruposObjetivoGX_TB2018_5607_317.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:28:00 AM |
| 4 | 45.MonitoreoGruposObjetivoGX_TB2019_5607_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/45.MonitoreoGruposObjetivoGX_TB2019_5607_317.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:28:00 AM |

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: **Exemplary**

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- ☒ 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- ☐ 2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

Todas las partes interesadas y socios relevantes participan total y activamente en el proceso, desempeñando un papel principal en la toma de decisiones, implementación y monitoreo del proyecto. Se cuenta con un tablero de mando integral que se presenta de manera trimestral al Mecanismo de coordinación de país, se adjunta la guía, la presentación al MCP y el protocolo de visita de campo como evidencia.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|--|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 29.GuiaTablerodeMandoIntegral_2646_112_2872_210_5607_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/29.GuiaTablerodeMandoIntegral_2646_112_2872_210_5607_318.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:29:00 AM |
| 2 | 30.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis2017_5607_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/30.CCM_GenericOversightTool_Tuberculosis2017_5607_318.PDF) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:30:00 AM |
| 3 | 46.ProtocoloVisitadeCampoTBProgramaNacional_5607_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/46.ProtocoloVisitadeCampoTBProgramaNacional_5607_318.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:30:00 AM |

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation **arrangements**⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- ☒ 3: *Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- ☐ 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

El proyecto contempla un Plan de Monitoreo y Evaluación que verifica los cambios en las capacidades y el desempeño de las instituciones y los sistemas se han evaluado / monitoreado exhaustivamente utilizando indicadores claros, métodos rigurosos de recopilación de datos y fuentes de datos creíbles, incluidas las actividades relevantes de seguimiento y análisis de la información con aseguramiento de la calidad. Se envía al financiador un el reporte sobre los avances del proyecto donde se evidencia los avances del proyecto y las capacidades de los subreceptores.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 25.PlandeMonitoreoEvaluación_5607_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/25.PlandeMonitoreoEvaluación_5607_319.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:31:00 AM |
| 2 | 26BOL-T-UNDP_PUDR_31Dec2017__5607_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/26BOL-T-UNDP_PUDR_31Dec2017__5607_319.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:32:00 AM |
| 3 | 27.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2018_5607_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/27.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2018_5607_319.xlsx) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:32:00 AM |
| 4 | 28.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2019_5607_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/28.BOL-T-UNDP_ProgressReport_31Dec2019_5607_319.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:32:00 AM |
| 5 | 47.ACTAAnálisisdeIndicadoresLP_5607_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/47.ACTAAnálisisdeIndicadoresLP_5607_319.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:32:00 AM |
| 6 | 48.ACTAAnálisisdeIndicadoresCBBA_5607_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/48.ACTAAnálisisdeIndicadoresCBBA_5607_319.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:32:00 AM |
| 7 | 49.ACTAAnálisisdeIndicadoresSCZ_5607_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/49.ACTAAnálisisdeIndicadoresSCZ_5607_319.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:33:00 AM |

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- ☒ 3: *The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- ☐ 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

Se cuenta con un Plan de Transición para la sostenibilidad, elaborado con la participación de la sociedad civil y con el Ministerio de Salud, el mismo es monitoreado a través de los informes de medición del gasto de Tuberculosis y proyección de este.

List of Uploaded Documents

| # | File Name | Modified By | Modified On |
|---|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 51.EVALUACIONTRANSICIONFMBOLIVIAFINAL_2872_220_5607_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/51.EVALUACIONTRANSICIONFMBOLIVIAFINAL_2872_220_5607_320.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:34:00 AM |
| 2 | 38.MedicióndegastoenTB2015-2018_5607_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/38.MedicióndegastoenTB2015-2018_5607_320.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:34:00 AM |
| 3 | 39.ProyeccióndegastoenTBCofinc.2019-2025_5607_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/39.ProyeccióndegastoenTBCofinc.2019-2025_5607_320.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 12:34:00 AM |
| 4 | 50.PLANDETRANSICIÓNPARALASOSTENIBILIDAD1_5607_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/50.PLANDETRANSICIÓNPARALASOSTENIBILIDAD1_5607_320.pdf) | gabriela.aliaga@undp.org | 10/8/2020 3:52:00 PM |

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments

El Proyecto cumplió con los seis objetivos estratégicos definidos en torno al objetivo general, de acuerdo al modelo conceptual de la respuesta nacional a la lucha contra la Tuberculosis del Estado Plurinacional de Bolivia. Las estrategias y sus lineamientos de acción consideran de manera transversal el enfoque de género y el respeto a los derechos humanos.

Este proyecto fue implementado de manera coordinada con el Programa Nacional de Control de Tuberculosis del Ministerio de Salud y los mecanismos de la sociedad civil que forman parte del modelo de gobernanza.

