

Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Highly Satisfactory
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00075602
Portfolio/Project Title:	Integrated Landscape Management (LAND)
Portfolio/Project Date:	2014-02-01 / 2019-11-30

Strategic

Quality Rating: Exemplary

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- ☒ 3: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

The project team together with the responsible persons of the UNDP Country Office in Uzbekistan, the executive agency represented by the Goskomgeodez kadastr, national and local partner organizations periodically took into account changes in the external environment and coordinated subsequent measures in accordance with the Basic Project Document and the approved Project Plans for the period of the project implementation (2014-2019 yy). The changes were discussed at TWG meetings and training workshops.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Protokol_TWG_27.11.2018_226_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_TWG_27.11.2018_226_301.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 10:30:00 AM
2	TerminalEvaluationReport_LAND_226_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TerminalEvaluationReport_LAND_226_301.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 10:15:00 AM
3	2018_AnnualProgressReporting_226_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2018_AnnualProgressReporting_226_301.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 10:16:00 AM
4	2018-GEF-PIR-PIMS4649-GEFID4600_226_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2018-GEF-PIR-PIMS4649-GEFID4600_226_301.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 10:16:00 AM
5	ILUMPSeminarZaaminPresentation23Sept17_226_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ILUMPSeminarZaaminPresentation23Sept17_226_301.pptx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 10:31:00 AM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- ☐ 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution. The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project responded to at least one of the developments settings¹ as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

Based on the project objectives, the project activities were aimed at addressing one of the key parameters of the UNDP Strategic Plan for 2018–2021, i.e. Signature solution 4: Promote nature-based solutions for a sustainable planet. According to the results of the project implementation, positive results were obtained in nature conservation and biodiversity improvement in the drylands of the project areas, which in the future will be replicated in other similar areas of the country and neighboring countries.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Draft_AKT_Kabinet_Ministry_RUz_226_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Draft_AKT_Kabinet_Ministry_RUz_226_302.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:10:00 AM
2	Lawonpastures_Uzb_eng_226_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Lawonpastures_Uzb_eng_226_302.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:10:00 AM
3	Road_Plan_Pasture LAND_226_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Road_Plan_Pasture LAND_226_302.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:11:00 AM
4	ЗаконОпастбищах-454рус_226_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ЗаконОпастбищах-454рус_226_302.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:11:00 AM
5	ЯйловҚонун-454узб_226_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ЯйловҚонун-454узб_226_302.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:11:00 AM

Relevant

Quality Rating: Exemplary

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- ☒ 3: *Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- ☐ 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

During the project implementation period, the team established close and trusting relationships with about 70 national and local partners. Representatives of partner organizations took an active part in all practical and field events, as well as in the development of strategic regulatory and technical documents.

The selection of beneficiaries was carried out in accordance with UNDP procedures, after discussion with local and national project partners, as well as with members of the TWG and PB.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	6.PBminutes_2018_Eng_226_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/6.PBminutes_2018_Eng_226_303.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:24:00 AM
2	Eng_August_Протокол_МРГ_1_ЛАНД_2017_226_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Eng_August_Протокол_МРГ_1_ЛАНД_2017_226_303.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:25:00 AM
3	Eng_Sep_Протокол_МРГ_1_ЛАНД_финал_226_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Eng_Sep_Протокол_МРГ_1_ЛАНД_финал_226_303.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:25:00 AM
4	Eng_ГКЗ_Арабов_протокол_15.04.2016_226_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Eng_ГКЗ_Арабов_протокол_15.04.2016_226_303.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:25:00 AM
5	MinutesofKorakulmeeting_26.10.2016_226_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MinutesofKorakulmeeting_26.10.2016_226_303.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:26:00 AM

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- ☒ 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- ☐ 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Knowledge and lessons on the subject of the project obtained during the participation and conferences held by international (FAO, ICARDA, CACILM, ICBA, SGP, JASIL) and national departments (Parliament of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Ministry of Economy and Industry, Ministry of Agriculture, The State Committee on Ecology and Environmental Protection, etc.), leading universities and research institutes of the country were discussed in workshops, trainings and TWG meetings. These include the creation of the Center for introducing innovative technologies and resource-saving developments in rainfed agriculture, seed plots for the production of seeds of desert forage plants, efficient use of natural resources (practical application of technology - vegetariy, atmospheric water generator, drip irrigation technology, etc.).

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ФАО_семинар_Природн.ресурс_Фарманов_226_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ФАО_семинар_Природн.ресурс_Фарманову_226_304.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:48:00 AM
2	2_MOUofLANDforZaaminAdministration_eng_091117_226_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2_MOUofLANDforZaaminAdministration_eng_091117_226_304.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:45:00 AM
3	3_MOUwithKarakulAdministration_eng_signed_171017_226_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3_MOUwithKarakulAdministration_eng_signed_171017_226_304.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:45:00 AM
4	4_MOULANDproject_TIMI_eng_signed_150617_226_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/4_MOULANDproject_TIMI_eng_signed_150617_226_304.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:45:00 AM
5	5_MOULANDproject_NUuz_eng_signed_270716_226_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/5_MOULANDproject_NUuz_eng_signed_270716_226_304.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:46:00 AM
6	ИКАРДА_ПРООН_пастбища_семинар_18.03.2016_226_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ИКАРДА_ПРООН_пастбища_семинар_18.03.2016_226_304.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 11:48:00 AM
7	Eng_ОтчетобитогахознакомительноговизитавИспанию_226_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Eng_ОтчетобитогахознакомительноговизитавИспанию_226_304.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:48:00 PM

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- ☒ 3: *There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.*
- ☐ 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- ☐ 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

On May 21, 2019, the Law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On pastures" was adopted and entered into force, which was initiated by the project in accordance with the project document from 2016. The project team has developed and submitted to the Goskomzemyagodezcadastre with the next transfer to the Parliament of the Republic of Uzbekistan a set of measures to make changes and amendments to existing legislation (Land Code of the Republic of Uzbekistan, etc.). A draft Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On Approval of the Regulations on the Procedure for Developing and Conducting Pasture Turns, Determining the Maximum Permissible Standards for Grazing Livestock on Pastures" was prepared and submitted to the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

The project has developed a strategy for the development of the use of the undeveloped dry lands of Uzbekistan, transferred it to the relevant ministries and departments, as well as to the Administration of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

The adoption of the above laws show a change in land use policy, as well as high reliability of the results obtained through a significant coverage of the target groups.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Lawonpastures_Uzb_eng_226_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Lawonpastures_Uzb_eng_226_305.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:01:00 PM
2	ЗаконОпастбищах-454рус_226_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ЗаконОпастбищах-454рус_226_305.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:01:00 PM
3	ЯйловҚонун-454узб_226_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ЯйловҚонун-454узб_226_305.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:01:00 PM
4	Road_Plan_Pasture LAND_226_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Road_Plan_Pasture LAND_226_305.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:02:00 PM
5	Draft_AKT_Kabinet_Ministry_RUz_226_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Draft_AKT_Kabinet_Ministry_RUz_226_305.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:02:00 PM
6	СтратегиядолгосрочногоиспользованиянеорошаемыхземельУзбекистана_10.10.2018_226_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/СтратегиядолгосрочногоиспользованиянеорошаемыхземельУзбекистана_10.10.2018_226_305.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:03:00 PM
7	Концепция_29.04.2016_финал_Eng.doc_226_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Концепция_29.04.2016_финал_Eng.doc_226_305.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:05:00 PM

Principled

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- ☐ 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

According to the project document, gender aspects were considered on the subject of the project, i.e. information on the activities of women in the whole project area was studied and collected, but with a focus on women living in rain-fed dry territories and working in economic entities.

During the project implementation, support was provided to capacity building and advanced training for 8 rural women entrepreneurs, of whom five women were created for the creation of sewing workshops, two women greenhouses and one woman were built to strengthen the capacity of the poultry farm. Women (about 30% of the participants) actively participated in training workshops and other project activities.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Kushbakova_S_Report_1_Gender_2017_226_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Kushbakova_S_Report_1_Gender_2017_226_306.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:37:00 PM
2	Kushbakova_S_Report_2_Gender_LAND_331217_226_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Kushbakova_S_Report_2_Gender_LAND_331217_226_306.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:38:00 PM
3	Tairova_Report_1_Gender_Econ_Karakul_2017_226_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Tairova_Report_1_Gender_Econ_Karakul_2017_226_306.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:39:00 PM
4	Tairova_Report_2_Gender_LAND_2017_226_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Tairova_Report_2_Gender_LAND_2017_226_306.docx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:40:00 PM
5	Юсупова_Ф_отчет_1_Гендер_19.11.2018_226_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Юсупова_Ф_отчет_1_Гендер_19.11.2018_226_306.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:41:00 PM
6	Юсупова_Ф_отчет_2_Гендер_19.11.2018_226_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Юсупова_Ф_отчет_2_Гендер_19.11.2018_226_306.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:41:00 PM
7	Ганпарова_H_Report1_NC_Gender_29.07.2015_226_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Ганпарова_H_Report1_NC_Gender_29.07.2015_226_306.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:43:00 PM
8	Ганпарова_H_Report2_NC_Gender_15.10.2015_226_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Ганпарова_H_Report2_NC_Gender_15.10.2015_226_306.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:43:00 PM

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: *Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.*
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

In the reported period, problems associated with social risks were not observed. Environmental risks associated with drought and low water flow were observed in 2018. In order to counteract and reduce the negative impact on the environment and socio-economic situation, the project initiated in two project districts the introduction of Integrated Land Use Management Planning mechanism on the pasture area of 3,600 hectares.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Protokol_ILUMP_21.11.2018_226_307 (http://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_21.11.2018_226_307.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:57:00 PM
2	Protokol_ILUMP_24.11.2018_226_307 (http://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_24.11.2018_226_307.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:58:00 PM
3	Protokol_ILUMP_30.05.2019_226_307 (http://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_30.05.2019_226_307.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:59:00 PM
4	Protokol_ILUMP_14.05.2019_226_307 (http://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_14.05.2019_226_307.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:55:00 PM
5	Protokol_ILUMP_15.11.2018_226_307 (http://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_15.11.2018_226_307.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:56:00 PM
6	Protokol_ILUMP_18.05.2019_226_307 (http://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_18.05.2019_226_307.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 12:57:00 PM

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- ☒ 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- ☐ 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- ☐ 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

The beneficiaries and project partners were informed about the UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU), and how to access it through national and local project partners. During the implementation of the project by partner organizations, there were no complaints about project activities.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Меморандум_ПРООН_НУУз_англ._26.07.2016_226_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Меморандум_ПРООН_НУУз_англ._26.07.2016_226_308.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 1:09:00 PM
2	MOUwithKarakulAdministration_rus_signed_171017_226_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MOUwithKarakulAdministration_rus_signed_171017_226_308.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 1:11:00 PM
3	MOUofLANDforZaaminAdministration_eng_091117_226_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MOUofLANDforZaaminAdministration_eng_091117_226_308.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 1:11:00 PM
4	MOUreLANDproject_TIMI_eng_signed_150617_226_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MOUreLANDproject_TIMI_eng_signed_150617_226_308.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 1:12:00 PM
5	MINUTES_ГКЗ_Компакт_животновод_21.11.2015_226_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MINUTES_ГКЗ_Компакт_животновод_21.11.2015_226_308.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 1:13:00 PM
6	Memo_UNDP_GKZ_Eng_226_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Memo_UNDP_GKZ_Eng_226_308.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 1:14:00 PM

Management & Monitoring**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- ☒ 3: *The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

Monitoring of the implementation process of project activities and evaluation of results were carried out by the executive Agency - the Goskomzemgeodezcadast, the responsible workers of the cluster of the UNDP office in Uzbekistan, members of the PB and TWG, and also the responsible workers of the regional divisions of partner ministries and departments with the participation of media representatives and non-governmental organizations. In 2016, a mid-term and in 2019 final assessment of project activities was carried out. Recommendations and proposals on the results of the mid-term evaluation were taken into account in the project activities for 2017-2019.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Minutes_Karakul_TWG_PB_2018_08_01-02_226_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes_Karakul_TWG_PB_2018_08_01-02_226_309.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:21:00 PM
2	Minutes_Zamin_TWG_PB_2018_09_06-07_226_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes_Zamin_TWG_PB_2018_09_06-07_226_309.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:21:00 PM
3	NC_Mukhtarov_A_Present_Econom_effect_27.06.2019_226_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/NC_Mukhtarov_A_Present_Econom_effect_27.06.2019_226_309.pptx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:24:00 PM
4	ManagementResponseMTR_Land.signed_226_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ManagementResponseMTR_Land.signed_226_309.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 1:46:00 PM
5	ManagementResponseMTR_Land_24.04.2019_226_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ManagementResponseMTR_Land_24.04.2019_226_309.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 1:49:00 PM

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- ☒ 3: *The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)*
- ☐ 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- ☐ 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

Coordination and management of the project by the executive Agency, the Goskomgeodezkadastr, is rated well. The governance mechanism of PB members is also well evaluated. The members of the TWG and the PB visited both project sites and familiarized themselves with the project activities. One PB meeting was held in the city of Bukhara. Members of the PB and TWG participated in the development and discussion of the draft law of the Republic of Uzbekistan "On pastures", the Strategy for the long-term use of rain-fed drylands of Uzbekistan and other important project documents.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	1.PBminutes_2014_Eng_226_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/1.PBminutes_2014_Eng_226_310.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:43:00 PM
2	2.PBminutes_2015_Eng_226_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2.PBminutes_2015_Eng_226_310.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:43:00 PM
3	3.PB_30.06.2016_Eng_226_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3.PB_30.06.2016_Eng_226_310.PDF)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:43:00 PM
4	4.PBminutes_06.12.2016_226_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/4.PBminutes_06.12.2016_226_310.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:45:00 PM
5	5.PBminutes_2017_Eng_226_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/5.PBminutes_2017_Eng_226_310.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:47:00 PM
6	6.PBminutes_2018_Eng_226_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/6.PBminutes_2018_Eng_226_310.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:47:00 PM
7	Protokol_ILUMP_30.05.2019_226_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_30.05.2019_226_310.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:49:00 PM
8	Protokol_Pasture_ILUMP_12.04.2019_226_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_Pasture_ILUMP_12.04.2019_226_310.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:50:00 PM

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- ☐ 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.*
- ☐ 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence:

During the project implementation period, 3 main risks were identified and properly monitored:

1. Climatic conditions of 2016-2018 adversely affected the efficiency of phytomeliorative measures. The prevailing weather conditions did not allow the natural stratification of seeds to overcome a long rest period, which led to low germination in field conditions, while in laboratory conditions germination rates were 60-65%.
2. The destruction of crops and young shoots of plants by the locust, which is very actively distributed in the foothill areas of the Zaamin district in 2018. With the assistance of local authorities and farms, pickling measures were taken to reduce losses to a minimum. But it should be noted that the risk remains significant due to the infiltration of locusts from other areas.
3. In the project area, there is a manual harvesting of hay fields, cutting down of bushes and trees. Such actions have a very negative impact on the development of desert forage plants. In addition, the project carried out explanatory work among the local population.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2015_4649-LandDegradation-2015PIRReport_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2015_4649-LandDegradation-2015PIRReport_226_311.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:56:00 PM
2	2016_4649-LandDegradation_PIRReport_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2016_4649-LandDegradation_PIRReport_226_311.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:56:00 PM
3	2017_PIR_4649_IntegratedLandscapeManagementinUzbekistan1_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2017_PIR_4649_IntegratedLandscapeManagementinUzbekistan1_226_311.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:56:00 PM

4	2018-GEF-PIR-PIMS4649-GEFID4600_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2018-GEF-PIR-PIMS4649-GEFID4600_226_311.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:57:00 PM
5	ГК3_Протокол_Арабов_21.11.2015_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ГК3_Протокол_Арабов_21.11.2015_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:58:00 PM
6	MeetingKarakulsheepComplex_2015_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MeetingKarakulsheepComplex_2015_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 2:59:00 PM
7	MeetingKarakulHokim_2015_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MeetingKarakulHokim_2015_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:00:00 PM
8	Protokol_ILUMP_21.11.2018_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_21.11.2018_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:38:00 AM
9	Protokol_ILUMP_15.11.2018_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_15.11.2018_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:39:00 AM
10	Protokol_ILUMP_24.11.2018_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_24.11.2018_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:40:00 AM
11	Protokol_ILUMP_14.05.2019_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_14.05.2019_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:41:00 AM
12	Protokol_ILUMP_18.05.2019_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_18.05.2019_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:42:00 AM
13	Protokol_ILUMP_30.05.2019_226_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_30.05.2019_226_311.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:43:00 AM

Efficient

Quality Rating: Exemplary

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- ☒ Yes
☐ No

Evidence:

To achieve the intended results, resources were used within the project budget, i.e. UNDP and GEF in monetary terms, and Goskomzemgeodezcadastre and other local partners in-kind costs.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	AWP_2014_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AWP_2014_226_312.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:22:00 PM
2	AWP_BR_B_2015_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AWP_BR_B_2015_226_312.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:26:00 PM
3	AWP_2016_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AWP_2016_226_312.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:23:00 PM
4	AWP_Land_2017_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AWP_Land_2017_226_312.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:23:00 PM
5	AWP_2018_BRI_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AWP_2018_BRI_226_312.PDF)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:24:00 PM
6	AWP_2018_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AWP_2018_226_312.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:25:00 PM
7	BR_J_2018_2019_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/BR_J_2018_2019_226_312.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:27:00 PM
8	2015_AnnualProgressReport_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2015_AnnualProgressReport_226_312.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:31:00 PM
9	2016_AnnualProgressReporting_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2016_AnnualProgressReporting_226_312.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:31:00 PM
10	2017_AnnualProgressReporting_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2017_AnnualProgressReporting_226_312.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:32:00 PM
11	2018_AnnualProgressReporting_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2018_AnnualProgressReporting_226_312.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:32:00 PM
12	ProgressReporting_2018_annual_RM31_226_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProgressReporting_2018_annual_RM31_226_312.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:32:00 PM

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: *The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

At the beginning of each year, the project developed a procurement plan in accordance with the project document, taking into account ongoing project activities in the field. Under the UNDP procedure, the project submits the procurement plan to the management of the cluster and the procurement department of the UNDP office for approval. The project monitors monthly procurement of resources, project budget implementation and expected results based on the procurement process. All project activities comply with UNDP and GEF procedures.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	APA_2014_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA_2014_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:46:00 PM
2	APA_2015_LAND_signature_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA_2015_LAND_signature_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:47:00 PM
3	APA_2016_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA_2016_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:49:00 PM
4	APA_Land_2017_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA_Land_2017_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:49:00 PM
5	APA_2018_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA_2018_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:50:00 PM
6	2014-2019MultiYearAWP_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2014-2019MultiYearAWP_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:53:00 PM
7	APA2019_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA2019_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:55:00 PM

8	CDR_2014_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CDR_2014_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:57:00 PM
9	CDR_2015_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CDR_2015_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:57:00 PM
10	CDR_2016_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CDR_2016_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:58:00 PM
11	CDR_2017_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CDR_2017_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:58:00 PM
12	CDR_2018_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CDR_2018_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 3:58:00 PM
13	7.Karakul-shepherdhouse_2019_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/7.Karakul-shepherdhouse_2019_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:00:00 PM
14	4.Karakul-wells_20191_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/4.Karakul-wells_20191_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:00:00 PM
15	1.Karakul-mobilehouse_2019_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/1.Karakul-mobilehouse_2019_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:00:00 PM
16	106.Zaamin_greenhouse_college_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/106.Zaamin_greenhouse_college_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:01:00 PM
17	66.Zaamin_college_Center-final_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/66.Zaamin_college_Center-final_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:01:00 PM
18	46.Zaamin_Rustamnoma_seedlings_final_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/46.Zaamin_Rustamnoma_seedlings_final_226_313.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:01:00 PM
19	Procurement_Plan_2015_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Procurement_Plan_2015_226_313.xls)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:20:00 AM
20	Procurement_Plan_2016_LAND_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Procurement_Plan_2016_LAND_226_313.xls)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:21:00 AM
21	Procurement_Plan_2017_Land_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Procurement_Plan_2017_Land_226_313.xls)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:21:00 AM

22	ProcurementPlan_2018_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProcurementPlan_2018_226_313.xlsx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:22:00 AM
23	ProcurementPlan_2019_226_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProcurementPlan_2019_226_313.xlsx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:22:00 AM

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- ☒ 3: *There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- ☐ 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

The project checked the expenditures for each component on a monthly basis. When purchasing the necessary equipment, he conducted marketing research and compared it with other projects of the country office. The project has always been actively coordinated in the preparation of contract documents and costing with other similar projects and has sought to efficiently use budget funds to achieve project results. In this process, the project did not commit any irregularities regarding UNDP procedures.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ZaaminForestryfarm_226_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ZaaminForestryfarm_226_314.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:13:00 PM
2	Zaaminstateforestryfarm_226_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Zaaminstateforestryfarm_226_314.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:13:00 PM
3	ZaaminRustamnoma-seeds_226_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ZaaminRustamnoma-seeds_226_314.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:14:00 PM
4	Zaamin_TutakKarim_mobilehouse_226_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Zaamin_TutakKarim_mobilehouse_226_314.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:14:00 PM
5	Karakul-mobilehouse_2019_226_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-mobilehouse_2019_226_314.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:15:00 PM
6	Karakul-fishery_2019_226_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-fishery_2019_226_314.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:15:00 PM
7	Karakul-seedlings_2019_226_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-seedlings_2019_226_314.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:15:00 PM
8	Karakul-broiler_20191_226_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-broiler_20191_226_314.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:16:00 PM

Effective

Quality Rating: **Highly Satisfactory**

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Evidence:

According to the final report of the International Expert on the final assessment, all the main project developments were implemented and completed. According to the results of implemented project activities, about 190 permanent and about 50 seasonal jobs were created.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Karakulsowingmachine_2019_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakulsowingmachine_2019_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:28:00 PM
2	Karakulcollegegreenhouse_2019_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakulcollegegreenhouse_2019_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:28:00 PM
3	Karakul-seedlings_2019_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-seedlings_2019_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:29:00 PM
4	Karakul-broiler_2019_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-broiler_2019_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:29:00 PM
5	Zaaminstateforestryfarm_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Zaaminstateforestryfarm_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:29:00 PM
6	ZaaminForestryfarm_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ZaaminForestryfarm_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:29:00 PM
7	ZaaminRustamnoma-seeds_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ZaaminRustamnoma-seeds_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:30:00 PM
8	Zaamin_Rustamnoma_seedlings_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Zaamin_Rustamnoma_seedlings_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:30:00 PM
9	TerminalEvaluationReport LAND_226_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TerminalEvaluationReport LAND_226_315.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/18/2019 6:25:00 AM

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- ☒ 3: *Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- ☐ 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

The project conducted a monthly budget analysis and informed about the current state of implementation of the work plan of the cluster management and focal point, as well as, if necessary, the contact person of the project and the national coordinator of the project. As required, necessary adjustments were made for the planned project activities. This procedure was carried out according to UNDP procedures and project document.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2018_AnnualProgressReporting_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2018_AnnualProgressReporting_226_316.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:42:00 PM
2	План_график_LAND_ГКЗ_06.03.2017_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/План_график_LAND_ГКЗ_06.03.2017_226_316.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:45:00 PM
3	План_график_LAND_07.10.2016_финал_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/План_график_LAND_07.10.2016_финал_226_316.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:46:00 PM
4	Eng_August_Протокол_МРГ_1_ЛАНД_2017_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Eng_August_Протокол_МРГ_1_ЛАНД_2017_226_316.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:50:00 PM
5	Eng_Sep_Протокол_МРГ_1_ЛАНД_финал_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Eng_Sep_Протокол_МРГ_1_ЛАНД_финал_226_316.doc)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:50:00 PM
6	EstimatedDelivery_04.07.2019_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/EstimatedDelivery_04.07.2019_226_316.xlsx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:54:00 PM
7	EstimatedDelivery_02.05.2019_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/EstimatedDelivery_02.05.2019_226_316.xlsx)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:55:00 PM
8	APA2019_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA2019_226_316.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:58:00 PM
9	APA_2018_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA_2018_226_316.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 4:59:00 PM
10	APA_Land_2017_226_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/APA_Land_2017_226_316.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:00:00 PM

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- ☐ 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

The project was aimed at a specific group of business entities operating in the pasture, rainfed and forest tracts of the Karakul and Zaamin districts. With the help of national consultants, the potential, needs and opportunities for reducing the pressure on natural resources were identified. The beneficiaries at the national and local level are the ministries and the ministries and their regional and district units whose activities are connected with non-irrigated drylands. During the period of the project implementation, assistance was provided in strengthening their potential, improving the skills of specialists, creating new jobs, applying new innovative resource-saving technologies, etc.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2_MOUofLANDforZaaminAdministration_eng_091117_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2_MOUofLANDforZaaminAdministration_eng_091117_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:15:00 PM
2	3_MOUwithKarakulAdministration_eng_signed_171017_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3_MOUwithKarakulAdministration_eng_signed_171017_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:16:00 PM
3	5_MOULANDproject_NU Uz_eng_signed_270716_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/5_MOULANDproject_NU Uz_eng_signed_270716_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:17:00 PM

4	Zaamin_college_Center-final_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Zaamin_college_Center-final_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:17:00 PM
5	Zaamin_greenhouse_college_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Zaamin_greenhouse_college_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:18:00 PM
6	Zaamin_Farovonshukrona_final_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Zaamin_Farovonshukrona_final_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:18:00 PM
7	Zaamin_TutakKarim_mobilehouse_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Zaamin_TutakKarim_mobilehouse_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:19:00 PM
8	Karakul-wells_20191_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-wells_20191_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:19:00 PM
9	Karakulgreenhouse-dehkan_20191_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakulgreenhouse-dehkan_20191_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:19:00 PM
10	Karakul-shepherdhouse_2019_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-shepherdhouse_2019_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:19:00 PM
11	Karakul-processing_2019_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Karakul-processing_2019_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:20:00 PM
12	LetterShurobodfinal_226_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/LetterShurobodfinal_226_317.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:20:00 PM

Sustainability & National Ownership**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- ☐ 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- ☒ 2: *National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

All national and local partners were fully and actively involved in the implementation of project activities, including field demonstration measures and the development of new legislation on the subject of the project.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Брошюра_рыба_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Брошюра_рыба_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:31:00 PM
2	Журнал_Проект_Бюллетень3_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Журнал_Проект_Бюллетень3_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:31:00 PM
3	Брошюра_корма_узб_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Брошюра_корма_узб_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:35:00 PM
4	БрошюраРекомендациядляживотноводов_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/БрошюраРекомендациядляживотноводов_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:35:00 PM
5	Plan_Shurrobod_Karakul_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Plan_Shurrobod_Karakul_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:37:00 PM
6	Recommend_Bozorboy_tulpori_LLC_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Recommend_Bozorboy_tulpori_LLC_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:38:00 PM
7	Strategiya LAND_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Strategiya LAND_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:40:00 PM
8	Finish_ILUMP_LAND_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Finish_ILUMP_LAND_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:42:00 PM
9	Закон_О_пастбищах_рус_226_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Закон_О_пастбищах_рус_226_318.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 5:45:00 PM

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation [arrangements](#)⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- ☐ 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

In the course of the project implementation, assistance was provided to improve the institutional structure of the national partners related to the project topics. First, at the proposal of the project, a special department for geobotanical pasture research was created within the Uzgiprozem structure of the Goskomzemgeodezkadastr, secondly, on the initiative of the project, a new version of the Regulation on the activities of the Inter-Stewardship Commission for Land Monitoring under the Goskomzemgeodezkadastr was developed and assisted in strengthening the Secretariat's capacity of this commission.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Книга_Дешировка_земель_руководства_2019_226_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Книга_Дешировка_земель_руководства_2019_226_319.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:24:00 PM
2	LandMonitoringProgramm_226_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/LandMonitoringProgramm_226_319.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:24:00 PM
3	Закон_О_пастбищах_рус_226_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Закон_О_пастбищах_рус_226_319.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:27:00 PM
4	1_Яйловлартугрисидаконун_226_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/1_Яйловлартугрисидаконун_226_319.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:27:00 PM
5	Protokol_ILUMP_18.05.2019_226_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_18.05.2019_226_319.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:32:00 PM
6	Protokol_ILUMP_14.05.2019_226_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Protokol_ILUMP_14.05.2019_226_319.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:32:00 PM
7	Положения_Координ_совет_мониторинг_земель_226_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Положения_Координ_совет_мониторинг_земель_226_319.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:33:00 PM

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- ☐ 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- ☒ 2: *There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.*
- ☐ 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

The project informed the national coordinator of the project, the contact person, the members of the IWG and the PB, the national partners of the project plans and the sustainability of the activities implemented in the project area. The project team has consistently implemented project activities to achieve project performance in accordance with the project document.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	1.PBminutes_2014_Eng_226_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/1.PBminutes_2014_Eng_226_320.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:41:00 PM
2	2.PBminutes_2015_Eng_226_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2.PBminutes_2015_Eng_226_320.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:42:00 PM
3	3.PB_30.06.2016_Eng_226_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3.PB_30.06.2016_Eng_226_320.PDF)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:42:00 PM
4	4.PBminutes_06.12.2016_226_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/4.PBminutes_06.12.2016_226_320.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:44:00 PM
5	5.PBminutes_2017_Eng_226_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/5.PBminutes_2017_Eng_226_320.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:45:00 PM
6	6.PBminutes_2018_Eng_226_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/6.PBminutes_2018_Eng_226_320.pdf)	tulkin.farmanov@undp.org	7/16/2019 6:45:00 PM

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments