

United Nations Development Programme



Country: BANGLADESH
Initiation Plan

28/5/2020

Project Title: COVID-19-SC4SDC

Le Le Lan

Project/Award ID: 00087607

28/5/2020

Covid-19 Output ID: 00121538

Expected UNDAF/CP Outcome(s):

Outcome 1: Develop and implement improved social policies and programmes that focus on good governance, reduction of structural inequalities, and advancement of vulnerable individuals and groups UNDAF

Outcome 3: Increase opportunities, especially for women and disadvantaged groups to contribute to and benefit from economic progress

Expected CPD Output(s):

CPD Output 1.1: The Government has knowledge and skills to better target remaining pockets of poverty and expand opportunities for women to contribute to and benefit from economic progress

Initiation Plan Start/End Dates: May 2020-September 2020

Implementing Partner: DIM (UNDP)

Brief Description

“Strengthening Institutional Capacity for SDGs Achievement in Bangladesh (SC4SDG)”, is a multi-year initiative to support the Government of Bangladesh. This initiative is the successor of “Support to Sustainable and Inclusive Planning (SSIP)” project and “Engaging with Institutions (EI)”, IP project and aims at providing technical assistance in the formulation of evidence-based policy formulation, implementation, localization, monitoring, evaluation, reporting and outreach of SDGs in Bangladesh. UNDP has been a long-standing partner of the GoB in achieving global goals since MDGs era. In previous interventions, UNDP worked largely with the public sector; but for SDGs, it cannot be achieved without support of the non-state stakeholders given its wideness and depth of the 2030 Global Development Agenda. This project aims to go beyond the conventional partnership with the government to private sector, NGOs, CSOs, think-tank, academia and media to ensure the ‘whole of the society’ approach to attained SDG goals and targets.

COVID-19 (corona virus) has put the global community, including Bangladesh, into an unforeseen challenge. The [World Health Organization \(WHO\)](#) declared 2019–20 coronavirus [outbreaks](#) a [Public Health Emergency of International Concern \(PHEIC\)](#) on 30 January 2020. With the rapidly evolving COVID-19 around the globe, on 13 March 2020 the IEDCR, Bangladesh confirmed first death from the novel corona virus. According to the government information, till 15th May 2020 Bangladesh has recorded 20065 confirmed cases of which 298 reported death.

In collaboration with other projects of UNDP and District Administration of Bangladesh Government. It is expected that the project will meet 2800 poor households’ food/ cash needs in its piloting areas. The project will also supply preventive materials/ PPE to 120 person. The project also aims at distributing 287 of each thermal scanner (infrared thermometer) and megaphone (hand mike) to local administrative offices and

Union Parishad in order to help them in providing service delivery particularly related to COVID 19 emergency response ensuring health precautions.

Programme Period 2019-2020
 Atlas Project Number: 00087607
 Covid-19 Output ID: 00121538
 Gender Marker: 2 (COVID 19 response Output)

Total resources required **USD 95000**
 Total allocated resources: _____
 • Regular _____
 • Other: _____
 • UNDP/ TRAC I USD 95000
 Unfunded budget: _____
 In-kind Contributions _____

Agreed by UNDP:



28/5/2020

(Sudipto Mukerjee, Resident Representative)

I. PURPOSE AND EXPECTED OUTPUT

A short description justifying the need for an Initiation Plan for this project and the expected output.

Purpose:

The overall purpose of SC4SDG COVID 19 response initiative is to provide necessary food/ cash support to the poor, disable, and women headed households who are depended on day to day income and is facing a serious income loss who due to stay at home call. The SC4SDG project also plans to provide Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), thermal scanner (infrared thermometer) and megaphone (hand mike).

Expected Output:

SC4SDG COVID 19 response initiative met the immediate dietary need of poor, disable, and women headed households.

SC4SDG COVID 19 response supported to reduce the likelihood from the COVID 19 infection of its key stakeholders by providing PPE, thermal scanner and megaphone.

SC4SDC COVID 19 response supported local administration and Union Parishad to manage their COVID 19 response ensuring health precautions.

II. MANAGEMENT ARRANGEMENTS

A short description of the individuals, organisations, and/or team structure required to conduct the activities of the Initiation Plan. If the size and scope of the Initiation Plan requires the establishment of a project board, describe the structure here and include a diagram if needed, drawing from the standard diagram provided in the full project document template.

The COVID response activity of SC4SDG will be implemented in collaboration with other projects of UNDP with engagement of Ground Level LGIs and District and Upazila Administration. The Advisor of SFLP in consultation with the CTA of the SC4SDG Project will keep close

communication and coordination with the district administration and UNDP project staff for preparation of list for receiving cash/ food baskets.

- ✓ SC4SDG/ UNDP Project will impart discussion with District/ Upazila Administration
- ✓ Prepare a list with support from LGIs
- ✓ Receive endorsement from the District/ Upazila Administration on the list
- ✓ Impart Fund Transfer/ Food Basket Distribution
- ✓ Monitoring and Reporting

III. MONITORING

A description of the monitoring requirements for the Initiation Plan.

Please refer to how the output and associated activity results shall be monitored, reviewed and assessed, depending on the scope and duration of the Initiation Plan (i.e. an Initiation Plan with a 9 months duration to start-up pilot activities shall require the combination of various monitoring tools and mechanisms, as opposed to a short Initiation Plan established to hire a consultant for the finalization of the Project Document).

As minimum requirement, an Initiation Phase Report should be prepared at the end of the Initiation Plan, using the standard format available in the [Executive Snapshot](#) in addition to attaching the full project document if developed or an explanation if initiation failed to produce a full project.

A monitoring cell is already established at DC's office which will be utilized for monitoring of the services. In addition, having support from DDLG and UNOs, District staff of UNDP will monitor the distributions.

Moreover, a report will be written and to be shared with the relevant stakeholder describing lessons learnt and results after the end of each distribution.

IV. WORK PLAN

Period¹:

EXPECTED OUTPUTS <i>And baseline, indicators including annual targets</i>	PLANNED ACTIVITIES <i>List activity results and associated actions</i>	TIMEFRAME						RESPONSIBLE PARTY	PLANNED BUDGET		
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6		Funding Source	Budget Description	Amount
<p>SC4SDG COVID 19 response initiative met the immediate dietary need of poor, disable, and women headed households at the SFLP piloting areas.</p> <p><i>Baseline: 0</i></p> <p><i>Indicators:</i></p> <p><i># of poor people/households received cash transfer to meet their food crisis/ daily needs during COVID 19 outbreaks</i></p> <p><i># of poor people/households received food baskets to meet their food crisis during COVID 19 outbreaks</i></p> <p><i>Target:</i></p> <p><i>1300</i></p> <p><i>1500</i></p>	<p>1. Cash transferred/ food baskets distributed to minimize food crisis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prepare list of the food/ cash recipient - Receive endorsement from the district/ Upazila administration - Distribute food/ cash 							UNDP, District Administration, Ground Level LGIs	TRAC I	Cash Transfer/ Food Distribution 2800 person X USD 18 = USD 50400	USD 50400

¹ Maximum 18 months

<p>SC4SDG COVID 19 response supported to reduce the likelihood from the COVID 19 infection of its key stakeholders by providing PPE/ preventive materials.</p> <p><i>Baseline: 0</i></p> <p><i>Indicator:</i></p> <p><i># of district level SFLP members and other stakeholders received PPE/ preventive materials</i></p> <p><i>Target: 120</i></p>	<p>2. Provide PPE/ preventive materials to district SFLP stakeholders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Collect need from the field on preventive materials - Procuring preventive materials - Distribute to the field 						<p>UNDP, District Administration, Ground Level LGIs</p>	<p>TRAC I</p>	<p>Preventive Materials/ PPE 120 person X USD 30 = USD 3600</p> <p>Logistics (Transportation and Communication) = USD 2000</p>	<p>USD 5600</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---------------	--	-----------------

<p>SC4SDC COVID 19 response supported local administration and Union Parishad to manage their COVID 19 response ensuring health precautions.</p> <p><i>Baseline: 0</i></p> <p><i>Indicator:</i></p> <p><i># of local level administrative offices received thermal scanner (infrared thermometer)</i></p> <p><i># of local level administrative offices received megaphone (hand mike)</i></p> <p><i>Target:</i></p> <p>287</p> <p>287</p>	<p>3. Provide thermal scanner (infrared thermometer) and megaphone (hand mike) to the local administration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Prepare a list of districts, upazillas and unions - Receive endorsement from the district/ Upazila administration - Procuring infrared thermometer and megaphone - Distribute to the field 						<p>UNDP, District Administration, Ground Level LGIs</p>	<p>TRAC I</p>	<p>Thermal scanner (infrared thermometer) 287 pcs X USD 59 = USD 16901</p> <p>Megaphone (hand mike) 287 pcs X USD 77 = USD 22099</p>	<p>USD 39000</p>
TOTAL										USD 95000

Annex [#]. Social and Environmental Screening Template

The completed template, which constitutes the Social and Environmental Screening Report, must be included as an annex to the Project Document. Please refer to the [Social and Environmental Screening Procedure](#) and [Toolkit](#) for guidance on how to answer the 6 questions.

Project Information

Project Information	
1. Project Title	Covid-19 SC4SDC
2. Project Number	00087607 (Covid-19 output ID 00121538)
3. Location (Global/Region/Country)	Bangladesh

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability




QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?
<p>Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach</p> <p>The right to food is recognized in the 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights (Article 25) as part of the right to an adequate standard of living and is enshrined in the 1966 International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (Article 11).</p> <p>The SC4SDG project through other projects of UNDP will transfer cash to the poor and marginalized households including disable and female headed households and the households who depend on day to day income will contribute to adequate standard of living which is a human right. The project will also distribute Personal Protective Equipment (PPE), thermal scanner (infrared thermometer) and megaphone (hand mike) to local administrative offices in order to help them in proving service delivery while ensuring that people and beneficiaries are safeguarded from the likelihood of COVID 19 infections. This initiative relates to their health rights.</p>
<p>Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment</p> <p>Apart from poor and marginalized households, the project plans to distribute food baskets and transfer of cash to the female headed households too. Preventive materials/ PPE will also be distributed to women members too.</p> <p>Moreover, when food crisis exists in a family, women of the family are exposed to much crisis as the socio-economic culture of the country encourage and enforce women be last to eat food meaning male eats first in a family even in a crisis situation. So, this food baskets distribution and cash transfer will allow women to have much access to food to meet their dietary need.</p>
<p>Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability</p> <p>The project will not do any harm on environment meaning any activity of the project is not harmful to environmental sustainability. However, in food purchasing, packaging and in distribution, adequate cleanliness measures will be taken (including avoidance of use of polythene packets) so that these does not have any negative impact on environment.</p>

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks? <i>Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any “Yes” responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note “No Risks Identified” and skip to Question 4 and Select “Low Risk”. Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.</i>	QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks? <i>Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before proceeding to Question 6</i>			QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?
Risk Description	Impact and Probability (1-5)	Significance (Low, Moderate, High)	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.
Risk 1: Distribution of Food and Cash may not reach to eligible hands	I = 3 P = 2	Moderate	As the list will be prepared by the LGIs representative, in some cases, the list may include some ineligible person.	Random checking of the list will be done by the UNDP and/or UNPD partner staff. District and Upazila administration engagement will also ensured to minimize the risk.
Risk 2: All eligible person may not have bKash account for cash transfer	I = 2 P = 2	Low	As the cash transfer will be done through mobile (by bKash), some of the eligible persons may not have own bKash number and they may use other/ relative's numbers to receive cash.	The project will randomly talk to them through their given cell phone number to know whether the persons have received money from his relatives/ other persons whose bKash accounts were given by the person to transfer cash.
Risk 3: 6.1 (according to the listed risk in annexure-1) Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	I = 3 P = 3	Moderate	There is a chance of existence of indigenous peoples in project operation areas and they may be left behind from the list.	The list will include them and will be prepared very carefully so that eligible indigenous peoples do not be left behind. Moreover, the beneficiaries list of Bandarban will be prepared with the principle of an appropriate proportionate mix of Bengali and Indigenous people.
	QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk categorization?			
	Select one (see SESP for guidance)			Comments
	Low Risk	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	Moderate Risk	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	High Risk	<input type="checkbox"/>		

QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk categorization, what requirements of the SES are relevant?		
Check all that apply		Comments
Principle 1: Human Rights	<input type="checkbox"/>	The project will meet some listed poor and marginalized people's adequate standard of living right through food baskets distribution and cash transfer.
Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	<input type="checkbox"/>	
1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	<input type="checkbox"/>	
4. Cultural Heritage	<input type="checkbox"/>	
5. Displacement and Resettlement	<input type="checkbox"/>	
6. Indigenous Peoples	<input type="checkbox"/>	
7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Final Sign Off

Signature	Date	Description
Mahmuda Afroz, Portfolio Manager, a. i. DG Portfolio  QA Assessor	28/5/2020	UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP Programme Officer . Final signature confirms they have "checked" to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
Nguyen Thi Ngoc Van, DRR  QA Approver	28/5/2020	UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD) , Country Director (CD), Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. Final signature confirms they have "cleared" the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC.
 PAC Chair Van Nguyen	28/5/2020	UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.



27/5/2020

SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Checklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks		
Principles 1: Human Rights		Answer (Yes/No)
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? ¹	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals?	No
Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment		
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services? <i>For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being</i>	No
Principle 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are encompassed by the specific Standard-related questions below		
Standard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management		
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	No

¹ Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals.

	<i>For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes</i>	
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water? <i>For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction</i>	No
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area? <i>For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.</i>	No
Standard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation		
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant ² greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)? <i>For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding</i>	No
Standard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions		
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No

² In regards to CO₂, 'significant emissions' corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.]

3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	No
3.4	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	No
3.5	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	No
3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No
3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	No
Standard 4: Cultural Heritage		
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Standard 5: Displacement and Resettlement		
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions? ³	No
5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Standard 6: Indigenous Peoples		
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	Yes
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	<p>Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)?</p> <p><i>If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is “yes” the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.</i></p>	No

³ Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protections.

6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.7	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
Standard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency		
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs? <i>For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol</i>	No
7.4	Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
7.5	Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No