Risks to the achievement of PBF outcomes	Likelihood of occurrence (high, medium, low)	Severity of risk impact (high, medium, low)	Mitigating Strategy and Responsible Parties
Lack of will to progress BPA and referendum due to shifting government priorities	Medium	High	Regular risk assessment conducted by the UN team and engagement with both governments on key activities that need to be progressed in order to make this project effective
Lack of trust between GoPNG and ABG at political, administrative and financial levels may affect project outcomes, e.g. weapons disposal	Medium	High	Hold regular meetings with the chief secretaries to share risk analysis on bottlenecks to project implementation, inclusive of facilitation of JSB meetings to address concerns of trust
Limited access to quality information and informed political discourse at community level	Medium	High	Strengthened engagement throughout PNG including AROB on BPA awareness
UN faces allegations of partiality or blamed for delays in the implementation of the BPA	Medium	Medium	Conduct of regular political analysis by the Peace and Development Adviser and Liaison Officer and engage with both governments to manage public expectations about the UN's role
Lack of substantive engagement with women and young people due to entrenched unequal social norms	Medium	High	Specific funds allocated and impact carefully monitored throughout the lifespan of the project to ensure women and young people are engaged
Escalation of violence during the implementation of the project	Medium	High	Use existing early warning/early response systems to detect and mitigate violence
Capacity limitation of partners to engage including the various ABG departments may affect project implementation	Medium	Medium	Capacity assessment and reinforcement of Implementing Partners to support projects
Project not being able to attract and retain qualified staff	Medium	High	Consider Detailed Assignments, including expediting salary scale surveys for national staff