

## Annex III Social and Environmental Screening

### Project Information

<b>Project Information</b>	
1. Project Title	Procurement Support Services to the Ministry of Health of Ukraine
2. Project Number	00090474
3. Location	Ukraine
4. Project stage (Design or Implementation)	implementation
5. Date	30.06.2021

### Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

#### QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

##### ***Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach***

The Project supported the citizens of Ukraine to participate in decision-making and enjoy human rights, gender equality, effective, transparent and non-discriminatory public services. The targeted groups including patient organizations (NGOs), representatives of vulnerable groups (MSM/TG and HIV-positive women) have been actively involved in project implementation and monitoring work. More than 13 patient NGOs across Ukraine worked with the UNDP team to prepare guidelines on medicines public monitoring based on the pilot work funded by UNDP. The project regularly conducts public events (training, conferences, etc.) with the target group representatives. Regular communications with the patient organization help to generate knowledge on the delivery of medicine and participate in the project board meetings. The patient organizations are able to monitor the medicines procurement delivery cycle on a monthly basis and provide timely feedback to strengthen the efficiency of the procurement.

As Ukraine has the second-largest HIV epidemic in Eastern Europe and Central Asia, the Project makes a range of actions to decrease stigma and discrimination toward HIV-positive, TB-affected, sexual minorities, transgenders, and other vulnerable groups. UNDP supported efforts of the Positive Women NGO to ensure sustainable resource mobilization by providing technical expertise with fundraising and held a session on universal design thinking for health rights for women living with HIV. The Project established a communication platform with judges on the topic of HIV, TB, and human rights, the role of judges in reducing stigma and discrimination. In cooperation with Ministry of Internal Affairs was developed the ToT Guide for the National Police "Human Rights and HIV/AIDS" to improve their knowledge on HIV, human rights, gender-based violence, and develop skills to provide gender tolerant services for most-at-risk populations.

##### ***Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment***

While the project is not explicitly designed to target gender equality, the project ensure gender mainstreaming by working with women's and patients' organizations, preparing gender-related analytical products, ensuring equal involvement of men and women both in expert and training work, promoting gender activities as part of the communication work and other actions. The project collects sex-disaggregated data.

Aiming to implement the UNDP Ukraine Gender Strategy 2018-2022, the Project conducted a thorough analysis of gender aspects of the procured medicine which concluded that women in Ukraine are primary caregivers for the children and especially mothers of children with certain conditions, diseases or disabilities. Therefore, all the medicines and medical product procured by the Project, that are related to children health, significantly contribute to promoting gender equality and women's empowerment. Gender marker: GEN 2.

**Briefly describe in the space below how the project mainstreams sustainability and resilience**

The Project contributed to a gradual reduction of use of paper by the beneficiary institutions by supporting the digitalization of health services, including digitalisation of the medical supply-chain management.

**Briefly describe in the space below how the project strengthens accountability to stakeholders**

The stakeholders were fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project. The Ministry of Health and local patients NGOs participated in the project board and other planning meetings, patients' organizations participate in the project activities and monitor the process of procurement and delivery. The Project together with the national stakeholders prepared the transition, phase-out plan.

## Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

<b>QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks?</b>	<b>QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks?</b> <i>Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before proceeding to Question 6</i>			<b>QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?</b>
<b>Risk Description</b>	<b>Impact and Probability (1-5)</b>	<b>Significance (Low, Moderate, High)</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required, note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.</b>
No Risks identified as per SESP Attachment 1				
	<b>QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk categorization?</b>			
	Select one (see <a href="#">SESP</a> for guidance)			<b>Comments</b>
	Low Risk	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		Low impact and probability
	Moderate Risk	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	High Risk	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	<b>QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk categorization, what requirements of the SES are relevant?</b>			
	Check all that apply			<b>Comments</b>
	Principle 1: Human Rights	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management	<input type="checkbox"/>		
	2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	<input type="checkbox"/>		

	3. <i>Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	4. <i>Cultural Heritage</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	5. <i>Displacement and Resettlement</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	6. <i>Indigenous Peoples</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	7. <i>Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

### Final Sign Off

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>
QA Assessor <u><i>Olena Kulikovska</i></u> Olena Kulikovska, Programme Analyst Governance	22-Jul-2021	UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP Programme Officer. The final signature confirms they have "checked" to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
QA Approver <u><i>Manal Fouani</i></u> Manal Fouani, Deputy Resident Representative	22-Jul-2021	UNDP senior manager, typically the UNDP Deputy Country Director (DCD), Country Director (CD), Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. The final signature confirms they have "cleared" the SESP before submittal to the PAC.
PAC Chair <u><i>Manal Fouani</i></u> Manal Fouani, Deputy Resident Representative	22-Jul-2021	UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases, PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. The final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.

## SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

<b>Checklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks</b>		
<b>Principles 1: Human Rights</b>		<b>Answer (Yes/No)</b>
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on the enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals?	No
<b>Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment</b>		
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	No
<b>Principle 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding environmental risks are encompassed by the specific Standard-related questions below</b>		
<b>Standard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management</b>		
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	No
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No

1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water?	No
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area?	No
<b>Standard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation</b>		
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?	No
<b>Standard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions</b>		
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No
3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	No
3.4	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	No
3.5	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	No
3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No
3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	No
<b>Standard 4: Cultural Heritage</b>		
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)?	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
<b>Standard 5: Displacement and Resettlement</b>		
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No

5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions?	No
5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community-based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
<b>Standard 6: Indigenous Peoples</b>		
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)?	No
6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.7	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
<b>Standard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</b>		
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose the use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs?	No
7.4	Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
7.5	Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No