

## Annex [#]. Social and Environmental Screening Template

The completed template, which constitutes the Social and Environmental Screening Report, must be included as an annex to the Project Document. Please refer to the [Social and Environmental Screening Procedure](#) and [Toolkit](#) for guidance on how to answer the 6 questions.

### Project Information

<b>Project Information</b>	
1. Project Title	Stabilization and Development Programme in Tribal Districts of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (SDP)
2. Project Number	88875
3. Location (Global/Region/Country)	Pakistan

### Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

#### QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

##### **Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach**

The project is designed to support the Government of Pakistan in the implementation of the erstwhile FATA Return and Rehabilitation strategy, for the returning Temporarily Dislocation Population (TDPs) In doing so, the project ensures that fundamental rights enshrined through the constitution of Pakistan are extended to the region which include right to basic services especially education

The project is working alongside the returning communities for improving access to basic services, rehabilitating physical infrastructure, and providing livelihood opportunities. Platforms have been provided/created for communities to approach the government thereby increasing accountability of key duty bearers.

##### **Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment**

The project is implemented in an area where engagement of women outside their homes/compounds is restricted. Additionally engaging women in productive-economic activities also has low social acceptability. Men and women rarely interact. In such a situation, mainstreaming gender remains a challenge.

The project has adopted an incremental approach to address gender concerns. The project initiates engagement with male community members first to develop a sound working relationship with them which paves the way for introducing activities/interventions for women . As a result, since 2015, the project's access to women has gradually increased. In the last year(2018), the project has successfully accessed and engaged 30 to 40 percent women in all its interventions. The project is improving gender equality and women's empowerment in the following ways;

- Given the social norms, Dedicated women community organizations are formed. These organizations plan, execute, and monitor development initiatives independently
- The implementing partners are encouraged to recruit women staff to access and engage community women
- If different development initiatives are identified by men and women community organizations, the project engages separately with the two communities for developing consensus. In case of no agreement, the project prefers execution of the development initiative identified by women community organizations.

- Looking at the low mobility of women, the project provides technical and vocational skills to the women community members at their doorstep. Though it is an expensive approach, however, it ensures that women get equal opportunity for economic empowerment.
- Flexibility is allowed for women while going through the selection process for different forms of assistance

**Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability**

Access to clean drinking water is one of the most important requirements in the return areas. The project has installed solar panels and other accessories to help the communities extract water from dug wells and tube wells. To ensure that water is not wasted and only the required quantity of water is extracted, the project has formed water user committees that consist of local community members. These committees are trained to keep check and balance on the extraction and use of water. Additionally, the project has developed critical messages on the judicious use of water and these messages are disseminated among communities through IEC (Information, Education, and Communication) material.

## Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

<p><b>QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks?</b>  <i>Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1 – Risk Screening Checklist (based on any “Yes” responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note “No Risks Identified” and skip to Question 4 and Select “Low Risk”. Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk Projects.</i></p>	<p><b>QUESTION 3: What is the level of significance of the potential social and environmental risks?</b>  <i>Note: Respond to Questions 4 and 5 below before proceeding to Question 6</i></p>			<p><b>QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?</b></p>
<p><b>Risk Description</b></p>	<p><b>Impact and Probability (1-5)</b></p>	<p><b>Significance (Low, Moderate, High)</b></p>	<p><b>Comments</b></p>	<p><b>Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment should consider all potential impacts and risks.</b></p>
<p>Risk 1: There is a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights.</p>	<p>I = 2 P = 2</p>	<p>Low</p>	<p>The communities may not be aware of their right or they may not have the capacity to claim their rights. Hence there are chances that these communities may be left out from the development initiatives of the government</p>	<p>Community mobilization followed by the development of community organizations is at the heart of all assistance provided through the project. These organized communities are trained to be informed on their rights and to interact with government.</p>
<p>Risk 2 Groundwater may deplete as a result of ill planned extraction.</p>	<p>I = 3 P = 1</p>	<p>Moderate</p>	<p>The injudicious extraction of water in areas where solar panels and other accessories are</p>	<p>The project has developed water user committees and has built their capacities to keep check and balance on the water extraction and use.</p>

			provided may be a severe threat to scarce groundwater	Also, the project is disseminating critical messages regarding judicious use of water through ICE material.
Failure of structural elements of the Project poses risks to communities (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	I =5 P = 1		The project is rehabilitating damaged infrastructure, particularly schools in return areas. A collapse of structure can cause significant damage	The project has hired civil engineers to review designs and monitor implementation by civil work contractors. Civil work contractors are pre-qualified through a rigorous process including certification by the Pakistan Engineering Council. Before making final payment to contractors all sites are assessed through onsite visits by a specialized 3 <sup>rd</sup> party engineering firm
Risk 4: ....	I = P =			
[add additional rows as needed]				
<b>QUESTION 4: What is the overall Project risk categorization?</b>				
<b>Select one (see <a href="#">SESP</a> for guidance)</b>			<b>Comments</b>	
<b>Low Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/>			The project respects local culture and work with and through indigenous people for their rehabilitation and development. The local communities acknowledge and own the project assistance. Similarly, for the physical infrastructure schemes, the communities have formed committees which keep check and balance to ensure their judicious and prudent use.	
<b>Moderate Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>High Risk</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk categorization, what requirements of the SES are relevant?</b>				
Check all that apply			<b>Comments</b>	
<b>Principle 1: Human Rights</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>1. Biodiversity Conservation and Natural Resource Management</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>2. Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>3. Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>4. Cultural Heritage</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				
<b>5. Displacement and Resettlement</b> <input type="checkbox"/>				

	<b>6. Indigenous Peoples</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	<b>7. Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

### Final Sign Off

<b>Signature</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>
QA Assessor 		UNDP staff member responsible for the Project, typically a UNDP Programme Officer. Final signature confirms they have “checked” to ensure that the SESP is adequately conducted.
QA Approver 		UNDP senior manager, typically the Deputy Resident Representative (DRR), or Resident Representative (RR). The QA Approver cannot also be the QA Assessor. Final signature confirms they have “cleared” the SESP prior to submittal to the PAC.
PAC Chair		UNDP chair of the PAC. In some cases, PAC Chair may also be the QA Approver. Final signature confirms that the SESP was considered as part of the project appraisal and considered in recommendations of the PAC.

### SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

<b>Checklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks</b>		
<b>Principles 1: Human Rights</b>		<b>Answer (Yes/No)</b>
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? <sup>1</sup>	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	Yes
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No
8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals?	No
<b>Principle 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment</b>		
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services? <i>For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being</i>	No
<b>Principle 3: Environmental Sustainability:</b> Screening questions regarding environmental risks are encompassed by the specific Standard-related questions below		
<b>Standard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource Management</b>		
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	No

<sup>1</sup> Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals.

	<i>For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes</i>	
1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water? <i>For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction</i>	No
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area? <i>For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.</i>	No
<b>Standard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation</b>		
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant <sup>2</sup> greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)? <i>For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding</i>	No
<b>Standard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions</b>		
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No

<sup>2</sup> In regards to CO<sub>2</sub>, 'significant emissions' corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.]

3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g. dams, roads, buildings)?	Yes
3.4	Would failure of structural elements of the Project pose risks to communities? (e.g. collapse of buildings or infrastructure)	Yes
3.5	Would the proposed Project be susceptible to or lead to increased vulnerability to earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, flooding or extreme climatic conditions?	No
3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No
3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	No
<b>Standard 4: Cultural Heritage</b>		
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
<b>Standard 5: Displacement and Resettlement</b>		
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No
5.3	Is there a risk that the Project would lead to forced evictions? <sup>3</sup>	No
5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
<b>Standard 6: Indigenous Peoples</b>		
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)?  <i>If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is “yes” the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.</i>	No

<sup>3</sup> Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protections.

6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.7	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No
6.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
<b>Standard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</b>		
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs?  <i>For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol</i>	No
7.4	Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
7.5	Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No

## Certificate Of Completion

Envelope Id: 3E4F2308E61D47FB8EAA454E54845F20	Status: Completed
Subject: Please DocuSign: Social and Environmental Screening -SDP.pdf	
Source Envelope:	
Document Pages: 8	Signatures: 1
Certificate Pages: 1	Initials: 0
AutoNav: Enabled	Envelope Originator:
Envelopeld Stamping: Enabled	Kanwal Shahzadi
Time Zone: (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)	One United Nations Plaza
	New York, NY 10017
	kanwal.shahzadi@undp.org
	IP Address: 111.119.177.16

## Record Tracking

Status: Original	Holder: Kanwal Shahzadi	Location: DocuSign
2/25/2021 11:24:40 PM	kanwal.shahzadi@undp.org	

## Signer Events

Signer Events	Signature	Timestamp
Aliona Niculita aliona.niculita@undp.org Deputy Resident Representative UNDP Headquarters Security Level: Email, Account Authentication (None)	 Signature Adoption: Uploaded Signature Image Using IP Address: 115.186.141.8	Sent: 2/25/2021 11:26:17 PM Viewed: 2/26/2021 2:17:48 AM Signed: 2/26/2021 2:18:02 AM

**Electronic Record and Signature Disclosure:**  
Not Offered via DocuSign

## In Person Signer Events

Signature

Timestamp

## Editor Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

## Agent Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

## Intermediary Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

## Certified Delivery Events

Status

Timestamp

## Carbon Copy Events

Status

Timestamp

## Witness Events

Signature

Timestamp

## Notary Events

Signature

Timestamp

## Envelope Summary Events

Status

Timestamps

Envelope Sent	Hashed/Encrypted	2/25/2021 11:26:17 PM
Certified Delivered	Security Checked	2/26/2021 2:17:48 AM
Signing Complete	Security Checked	2/26/2021 2:18:02 AM
Completed	Security Checked	2/26/2021 2:18:02 AM

## Payment Events

Status

Timestamps