Annex [#]. Social and Environmental Screening Template

The completed template, which constitutes the Social and Environmental Screening Report, must be included as an annex to the Project Document. Please refer to the <u>Social and Environmental</u>

<u>Screening Procedure</u> and <u>Toolkit</u> for guidance on how to answer the 6 questions.

Project Information

Project Information	
1. Project Title	"Assistance in enhancement of Kazakhstani Nationally Determined Contribution"
2. Project Number	00117909
3. Location (Global/Region/Country)	Kazakhstan

Part A. Integrating Overarching Principles to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability

QUESTION 1: How Does the Project Integrate the Overarching Principles in order to Strengthen Social and Environmental Sustainability?

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams the human-rights based approach

The project was developed in full compliance with the human rights-based approach, which is one of the main approaches used to improve the system of inventory and monitoring of greenhouse gases in Kazakhstan in order to support climate change activities. Due to close and broad cooperation, the implementation of a current MRV system will ensure that GHG inventory and monitoring are as accurate as possible. Adding adaptation issues to the NDC will have a positive impact on socially vulnerable population, enhancing resilience to the adverse effects of climate change. Awareness about climate change helps to raise the level of general culture among the population. All components of the project are subject to implementation of UNDP social and environmental screening protection mechanism.

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project is likely to improve gender equality and women's empowerment

The project's goals include creating legislative and institutional levers for further development and improvement of the greenhouse gas inventory and monitoring system and further promoting the creation of a greenhouse gas compensation system in addition to the existing emissions trading system in Kazakhstan. In this context, gender equality is defined as equal access of men and women to decision-making, which in turn creates favorable conditions for active participation of men and women in environmental activities. These key conditions for improving gender equality will be reflected in all Project activities and presented in documents (analyses, reports, plans).

Briefly describe in the space below how the Project mainstreams environmental sustainability

The project addresses three main issues related to inventory and monitoring of greenhouse gas sinks and emissions in Kazakhstan, adaptation measures, and awareness of climate change. In addressing these three issues, the Project will contribute to environmental benefits at both the global and country levels.

In order to ensure environmental sustainability, the Project will work on improving the MRV in the GHG accounting system, adaptation issues and measures in the NDC and increasing public awareness of climate change.

Part B. Identifying and Managing Social and Environmental Risks

QUESTION 2: What are the Potential Social and Environmental Risks? Note: Describe briefly potential social and environmental risks identified in Attachment 1—Risk Screening Checklist (based on any "Yes" responses). If no risks have been identified in Attachment 1 then note "No Risks Identified" and skip to Question 4 and Select "Low Risk". Questions 5 and 6 not required for Low Risk	significa social a Note: Re	ance of tl nd environs spond to C	hat is the level of he potential onmental risks? Questions 4 and 5 reding to Question 6	QUESTION 6: What social and environmental assessment and management measures have been conducted and/or are required to address potential risks (for Risks with Moderate and High Significance)?
Risk Description	Impact and Probab ility (1-5)	Signific ance (Low, Modera	Comments	Description of assessment and management measures as reflected in the Project design. If ESIA or SESA is required note that the assessment

		te, High)		should consider all potential impacts and risks.
Risk 1: Human Rights	I = 2	Low	Risk is assessed	Not applicable for projects with low risk
Potential that the project	P = 1		based on the	
may:			situation and	
			context of the	
Lead to adverse			project. Despite the	
consequences in the use of			presence of risks,	
human rights (civil, political,			the combination of	
economic, social or cultural)			influence and	
for the affected population			probability of risk is	
and, in particular, socially			assessed as low.	
isolated groups			Possible reduction in	
			power generation or	
– Have a negative impact in			industrial production	
the form of inequality or			due to temporary or	
discrimination against the			complete closures,	
affected population, in			and related layoffs	
particular those living below			and reduced	
the poverty line, socially			economic activity.	
isolated, or socially			However, the more	
vulnerable individuals or			realistic picture is	
groups			that some of the	
			polluters will have to	
– Limit the availability,			implement	
quality or access to			measures to reduce	
resources of basic services,			emissions instead of	

in particular for socially isolated individuals or groups.			closing or stopping production.	
Risk 2 Gender and Women Empowerment	I = 1 P = 1	Low	The impact on women and the	Not applicable for projects with low risk
Potential that the project			socially vulnerable	
may:			population is	
Limit women's ability to			indirect and associated with	
use, develop and protect			lowering of incomes	
natural resources, taking			due to shutdown of	
into account the different			some enterprises.	
roles and positions of women and men in				
evaluating environmental				
goods and services.				
Risk 3: Biodiversity	I = 2	Low	The main goal of the	Not applicable for projects with low risk
Conservation and	P = 2		project is to provide	
Sustainable Natural			more accurate and	
Resource Management			actual measurement	
			of greenhouse gas	
Potential that the project			emissions, create a	
may:			framework for	
			developing a	
 Involve changes in the use 			portfolio of	
of land and resources that			adaptation projects	
may have adverse effects on			and disseminate	

habitats, ecosystems and /			information about	
or livelihoods			climate change more	
			widely among the	
			population.	
			Therefore, the	
			probability of these	
			risks is insignificant.	
			However, this risk	
			will be constantly	
			monitored	
			throughout all	
			stages of the project	
			implementation	
			through standard	
			risk control and	
			monitoring systems.	
Risk 4: Displacement and	I = 2	Low	The risk is based on	Not applicable for projects with low risk
Resettlement	P = 2		the likelihood that	
			vulnerable segments	
Potential that the project			of the population	
may:			will express a desire	
			to leave unfavorable	
 Lead to economic 			places of residence	
displacement (for example,			as a result of their	
loss of assets or access to			increasing	
resources due to land			awareness	
acquisition, restriction of				

access-even in the absence of physical displacement) [add additional rows as needed]	QUESTION 4: What is the overa	II Pro	niect risk categorization?
	Select one (see <u>SESP</u> for guidance Low Risk		All three components of the project have no direct impact. Successful implementation of the first and second components may lead to some changes with potential, although limited, negative consequences in the long term, significantly exceeding the project's validity period
	Moderate Risk		
	High Risk		
	QUESTION 5: Based on the identified risks and risk		
	categorization, what requirement of the SES are relevant?	ents	
	Check all that apply Principle 1: Human Rights		Comments Not applicable for projects with low risk

Principle 2: Gend Women's Emp	• •	Not applicable for projects with low risk
1. Biodiversity Co Natural Resou	onservation and arce Management	Not applicable for projects with low risk
2. Climate Chang Adaptation	ge Mitigation and	Not applicable for projects with low risk
3. Community He Working Cond	ealth, Safety and litions	Not applicable for projects with low risk
4. Cultural Herita	age	Not applicable for projects with low risk
5. Displacement	and Resettlement	Not applicable for projects with low risk
6. Indigenous Pe	oples	Not applicable for projects with low risk
7. Pollution Previ Resource Effici		Not applicable for projects with low risk

SESP Attachment 1. Social and Environmental Risk Screening Checklist

Ch	ecklist Potential Social and Environmental Risks	
Prir	ciples 1: Human Rights	Answ er (Yes/ No)
1.	Could the Project lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population and particularly of marginalized groups?	No
2.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups? ¹	No
3.	Could the Project potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services, in particular to marginalized individuals or groups?	No
4.	Is there a likelihood that the Project would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders, in particular marginalized groups, from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	No
5.	Is there a risk that duty-bearers do not have the capacity to meet their obligations in the Project?	No
6.	Is there a risk that rights-holders do not have the capacity to claim their rights?	No
7.	Have local communities or individuals, given the opportunity, raised human rights concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process?	No

¹ Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. References to "women and men" or similar is understood to include women and men, boys and girls, and other groups discriminated against based on their gender identities, such as transgender people and transsexuals.

8.	Is there a risk that the Project would exacerbate conflicts among and/or the risk of violence to project-affected communities and individuals?	No
Prir	ciple 2: Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment	
1.	Is there a likelihood that the proposed Project would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls?	No
2.	Would the Project potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	No
3.	Have women's groups/leaders raised gender equality concerns regarding the Project during the stakeholder engagement process and has this been included in the overall Project proposal and in the risk assessment?	No
4.	Would the Project potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Yes
	For example, activities that could lead to natural resources degradation or depletion in communities who depend on these resources for their livelihoods and well being	
env	iciple 3: Environmental Sustainability: Screening questions regarding ironmental risks are encompassed by the specific Standard-related stions below	
	ndard 1: Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Natural Resource nagement	
1.1	Would the Project potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. modified, natural, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	No
	For example, through habitat loss, conversion or degradation, fragmentation, hydrological changes	

1.2	Are any Project activities proposed within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	No
1.3	Does the Project involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? (Note: if restrictions and/or limitations of access to lands would apply, refer to Standard 5)	No
1.4	Would Project activities pose risks to endangered species?	No
1.5	Would the Project pose a risk of introducing invasive alien species?	No
1.6	Does the Project involve harvesting of natural forests, plantation development, or reforestation?	No
1.7	Does the Project involve the production and/or harvesting of fish populations or other aquatic species?	No
1.8	Does the Project involve significant extraction, diversion or containment of surface or ground water?	No
	For example, construction of dams, reservoirs, river basin developments, groundwater extraction	
1.9	Does the Project involve utilization of genetic resources? (e.g. collection and/or harvesting, commercial development)	No
1.10	Would the Project generate potential adverse transboundary or global environmental concerns?	No
1.11	Would the Project result in secondary or consequential development activities which could lead to adverse social and environmental effects, or would it generate cumulative impacts with other known existing or planned activities in the area?	No
	For example, a new road through forested lands will generate direct environmental and social impacts (e.g. felling of trees, earthworks, potential relocation of inhabitants). The new road may also facilitate encroachment on lands by illegal settlers or generate unplanned commercial development along the route, potentially in sensitive areas. These are indirect, secondary, or induced impacts that need to	

	be considered. Also, if similar developments in the same forested area are planned, then cumulative impacts of multiple activities (even if not part of the same Project) need to be considered.	
Star	ndard 2: Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	
2.1	Will the proposed Project result in significant ² greenhouse gas emissions or may exacerbate climate change?	No
2.2	Would the potential outcomes of the Project be sensitive or vulnerable to potential impacts of climate change?	No
2.3	Is the proposed Project likely to directly or indirectly increase social and environmental vulnerability to climate change now or in the future (also known as maladaptive practices)?	No
	For example, changes to land use planning may encourage further development of floodplains, potentially increasing the population's vulnerability to climate change, specifically flooding	
Star	ndard 3: Community Health, Safety and Working Conditions	
3.1	Would elements of Project construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	No
3.2	Would the Project pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials (e.g. explosives, fuel and other chemicals during construction and operation)?	No
	chemicals during construction and operation;	
3.3	<u> </u>	No
3.3	Does the Project involve large-scale infrastructure development (e.g.	No No

 $^{^2}$ In regards to CO₂, 'significant emissions' corresponds generally to more than 25,000 tons per year (from both direct and indirect sources). [The Guidance Note on Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation provides additional information on GHG emissions.]

3.6	Would the Project result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from water-borne or other vector-borne diseases or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	No
3.7	Does the Project pose potential risks and vulnerabilities related to occupational health and safety due to physical, chemical, biological, and radiological hazards during Project construction, operation, or decommissioning?	No
3.8	Does the Project involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labor standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	No
3.9	Does the Project engage security personnel that may pose a potential risk to health and safety of communities and/or individuals (e.g. due to a lack of adequate training or accountability)?	No
Standard 4: Cultural Heritage		
4.1	Will the proposed Project result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? (Note: Projects intended to protect and conserve Cultural Heritage may also have inadvertent adverse impacts)	No
4.2	Does the Project propose utilizing tangible and/or intangible forms of cultural heritage for commercial or other purposes?	No
Standard 5: Displacement and Resettlement		
5.1	Would the Project potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	No
5.2	Would the Project possibly result in economic displacement (e.g. loss of assets or access to resources due to land acquisition or access restrictions – even in the absence of physical relocation)?	No

³ Forced evictions include acts and/or omissions involving the coerced or involuntary displacement of individuals, groups, or communities from homes and/or lands and common property resources that were occupied or depended upon, thus eliminating the ability of an individual, group, or community to reside or work in a particular dwelling, residence, or location without the provision of, and access to, appropriate forms of legal or other protections.

5.4	Would the proposed Project possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	No
Standard 6: Indigenous Peoples		
6.1	Are indigenous peoples present in the Project area (including Project area of influence)?	No
6.2	Is it likely that the Project or portions of the Project will be located on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.3	Would the proposed Project potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples (regardless of whether indigenous peoples possess the legal titles to such areas, whether the Project is located within or outside of the lands and territories inhabited by the affected peoples, or whether the indigenous peoples are recognized as indigenous peoples by the country in question)? If the answer to the screening question 6.3 is "yes" the potential risk impacts are considered potentially severe and/or critical and the Project would be categorized as either Moderate or High Risk.	No
6.4	Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations carried out with the objective of achieving FPIC on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	No
6.5	Does the proposed Project involve the utilization and/or commercial development of natural resources on lands and territories claimed by indigenous peoples?	No
6.6	Is there a potential for forced eviction or the whole or partial physical or economic displacement of indigenous peoples, including through access restrictions to lands, territories, and resources?	No
6.7	Would the Project adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	No
6.8	Would the Project potentially affect the physical and cultural survival of indigenous peoples?	No

6.9	Would the Project potentially affect the Cultural Heritage of indigenous peoples, including through the commercialization or use of their traditional knowledge and practices?	No
Standard 7: Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency		
7.1	Would the Project potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	No
7.2	Would the proposed Project potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	No
7.3	Will the proposed Project potentially involve the manufacture, trade, release, and/or use of hazardous chemicals and/or materials? Does the Project propose use of chemicals or materials subject to international bans or phase-outs?	No
	For example, DDT, PCBs and other chemicals listed in international conventions such as the Stockholm Conventions on Persistent Organic Pollutants or the Montreal Protocol	
7.4	Will the proposed Project involve the application of pesticides that may have a negative effect on the environment or human health?	No
7.5	Does the Project include activities that require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	No