

Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Satisfactory
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00099031
Portfolio/Project Title:	Parliamentary Support Project (PSP-II)
Portfolio/Project Date:	2017-06-01 / 2022-12-31

Strategic

Quality Rating: Exemplary

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- ☒ 3: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

The project coordinated with Federal and FMS Parliaments and identified and assessed challenges that impacted implementation of the project activities. Also, the project organized project board meetings and other discussions with the international and national partners and made changes/plans as required to achieve results. In addition, the project closely collaborated with UNSOM and international partners to ensure support to the key state institutions, but at the same time to contribute to unblocking the political impasse. The recommendations from those meetings got integrated in the project strategies that contributed to overcoming some challenges. One of the examples is the direct request from Puntland's Parliament to get assistance with regards to the constitutional review process, noting that Puntland in the past refused to participate in the process.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PuntlandHoRsRequesttoDeliverTrainingonConstitutionReviewProcess_10688_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PuntlandHoRsRequesttoDeliverTrainingonConstitutionReviewProcess_10688_301.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:14:00 PM
2	LessonsLearntMeetingReportonConstitutionalReviewProcess-14Mar2021_10688_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/LessonsLearntMeetingReportonConstitutionalReviewProcess-14Mar2021_10688_301.pdf)	dragan.popovic@undp.org	11/23/2021 2:08:00 PM
3	Sequencingofactivitiespre-postelection-14March2021_10688_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Sequencingofactivitiespre-postelection-14March2021_10688_301.pdf)	dragan.popovic@undp.org	11/23/2021 2:09:00 PM
4	ReveiwedStrategyfortakingforwardtheConstitutionalReviewProcess-tobesharedwiththepartners2Feb2021_10688_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ReveiwedStrategyfortakingforwardtheConstitutionalReviewProcess-tobesharedwiththepartners2Feb2021_10688_301.pdf)	dragan.popovic@undp.org	11/23/2021 2:09:00 PM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- ☐ 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

The project responded to UNDP strategic plan Output 2.1. Parliaments, constitution making bodies and electoral institutions enabled to perform core functions for improved accountability, participation and representation, including for peaceful transitions.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PRODOC_PSP-II_Signed_10688_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOC_PSP-II_Signed_10688_302.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:22:00 PM
2	2021revisedAWP_PSP_19112021-finalversion_10688_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2021revisedAWP_PSP_19112021-finalversion_10688_302.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:23:00 PM

Relevant**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- ☐ 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)*
- ☐ 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

The project engaged the target groups during the implementation of the project activities and monitoring exercise. Feedback were gathered from the project partners and other beneficiaries by Third party monitoring teams, which informed the programme/project planning and execution.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TPM_Q1_Q2Report_forUNDP_PSPProject_10688_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TPM_Q1_Q2Report_forUNDP_PSPProject_10688_303.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:36:00 PM
2	2018_AnnualProgressReport-PSP-finalversion_10688_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2018_AnnualProgressReport-PSP-finalversion_10688_303.docx)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:36:00 PM
3	2019_AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_303.doc)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:36:00 PM
4	2020-AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2020-AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_303.docx)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:36:00 PM
5	2021-Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June-signed_10688_303 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2021-Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June-signed_10688_303.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:36:00 PM

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- ☒ 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- ☐ 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- ☐ 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Lessons learnt workshop was held for project board members including international partners and parliamentary oversight committee and deliberated the key implementation issues and impediments that affected project's functioning and also informed programme development, following the CPD and UNCF.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2021-Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June-signed_10688_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2021-Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June-signed_10688_304.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:43:00 PM
2	LessonsLearntMeetingReportonConstitutionalReviewProcess-14Mar2021_10688_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/LessonsLearntMeetingReportonConstitutionalReviewProcess-14Mar2021_10688_304.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:43:00 PM
3	SomaliaCRPPoliticalStrategyReport_10688_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SomaliaCRPPoliticalStrategyReport_10688_304.docx)	dragan.popovic@undp.org	11/23/2021 2:15:00 PM

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- ☒ 3: *There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.*
- ☐ 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- ☐ 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

Significant number of beneficiaries were reached during the Somaliland Parliament's constituency visits, resulting in peaceful election and peaceful transition of power in Somaliland. The project also contributed to giving platform for the voice of the women by organizing women parliamentarians' conference which brought 300 women delegates from federal and federal members and other women's organizations providing the views on key issues concerning women empowerment and political participation.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2019_AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_305.doc)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 12:54:00 PM
2	3rdAnnualReportSomaliWomenParliamentariansConference_10688_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3rdAnnualReportSomaliWomenParliamentariansConference_10688_305.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:05:00 PM
3	Report-SecondAnnualWomenMPsConference_11-13December2018_10688_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Report-SecondAnnualWomenMPsConference_11-13December2018_10688_305.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 9:11:00 PM
4	SomalilandHouseofElders_ConstituencyVisitReport_WesternRegionsofSomaliland_10688_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SomalilandHouseofElders_ConstituencyVisitReport_WesternRegionsofSomaliland_10688_305.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:23:00 PM
5	Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_305 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_305.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:24:00 PM

Principled**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- ☐ 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- ☒ *2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

Project Outputs: 1, 3, 4 and 5 directly contributed to addressing gender inequalities and women empowerment. Project organized conferences for women delegates to discuss their interests, aspirations and concerns to ensure women political participation and representation, following the Somali constitution.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2018_AnnualProgressReport-PSP-finalversion_10688_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2018_AnnualProgressReport-PSP-finalversion_10688_306.docx)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:08:00 PM
2	2019_AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_306.doc)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:08:00 PM
3	3rdAnnualReportSomaliWomenParliamentariansConference_10688_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3rdAnnualReportSomaliWomenParliamentariansConference_10688_306.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:08:00 PM

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- ☐ 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.*
- ☐ 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

The project prepared SESP and risk log and regularly updated on quarterly basis to reflect the changes. Also, the project monitored the risks identified through updating the risk log.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PRODOC_PSP-II_Signed_10688_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOC_PSP-II_Signed_10688_307.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:15:00 PM
2	2018_AnnualProgressReport-PSP-finalversion_10688_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2018_AnnualProgressReport-PSP-finalversion_10688_307.docx)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:15:00 PM
3	2020-AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2020-AnnualProgressReport_PSP-finalversion_10688_307.docx)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:16:00 PM

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- ☐ 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.*
- ☐ 1: Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)

Evidence:

The project expressed a commitment to address need and social grievances of the affected people. However, most of the grievances received from the project partners were mainly related to delay in processing of payments by UNDP. The issues have been successfully resolved, following the corporate policies, LOAs or other established mechanisms that ensured expeditious project implementation (such as weekly/bi-weekly/monthly meetings etc.).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PRODOC_PSP-II_Signed_10688_308 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOC_PSP-II_Signed_10688_308.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:22:00 PM

Management & Monitoring**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- ☐ 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

The project prepared a detailed M&E plan with required elements. The project gathered data through field monitoring by project staff. Also, the project used third party monitoring on quarterly basis to verify implementation of project activities and report progress.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	TPMPlan_Q1andQ2-PSP2021_10688_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TPMPlan_Q1andQ2-PSP2021_10688_309.xlsx)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:29:00 PM
2	PSPIIMonitoringPlan-updated2019_10688_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PSPIIMonitoringPlan-updated2019_10688_309.pdf)	mohamed.hadi@undp.org	11/22/2021 1:31:00 PM

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- ☐ 3: The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- ☒ 2: *The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)*
- ☐ 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

The project had a functional governance mechanism to deliberate on the progress/achievements and bottlenecks in implementation of the project activities and to provide strategic guidance for the betterment and smooth implementation of the project. PSP organized weekly meetings with UNSOM and by-weekly and monthly meetings with the international partners, covering the area of the constitutional review process, to adequately respond to the political realities in Somalia.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Minutes_PBM_PSP_22October2020_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes_PBM_PSP_22October2020_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:27:00 PM
2	Minutes_PBM_PSP_20March2019_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes_PBM_PSP_20March2019_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:27:00 PM
3	200623Noteonhigh-levelmeetingonConstitutionalReviewProcess-23.06.2020PAMG_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/200623Noteonhigh-levelmeetingonConstitutionalReviewProcess-23.06.2020PAMG_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:28:00 PM

4	ConstitutionReviewProcess-ConsultativeMeeting5Nov2020-Report-tobeshared_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcess-ConsultativeMeeting5Nov2020-Report-tobeshared_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:28:00 PM
5	ConstitutionReviewProcess-ConsultativeMeeting19Nov2020-Minutes1_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcess-ConsultativeMeeting19Nov2020-Minutes1_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:28:00 PM
6	ConstitutionReviewProcess-ConsultativeMeeting19Nov2020-Minutes_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcess-ConsultativeMeeting19Nov2020-Minutes_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:28:00 PM
7	ConstitutionReviewProcessbi-weeklyConsultativeMeeting-11June-report_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcessbi-weeklyConsultativeMeeting-11June-report_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:28:00 PM
8	ConstitutionReviewProcessbi-weeklyConsultativeMeeting-14May-report_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcessbi-weeklyConsultativeMeeting-14May-report_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:28:00 PM
9	ConstitutionReviewProcessbi-weeklyConsultativeMeeting-28May-report_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcessbi-weeklyConsultativeMeeting-28May-report_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:30:00 PM
10	ConstitutionReviewProcessBi-weeklyConsultativeMeeting-30April-report_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcessBi-weeklyConsultativeMeeting-30April-report_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:30:00 PM
11	ConstitutionReviewProcessMonthlyConsultativeMeeting-25March_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcessMonthlyConsultativeMeeting-25March_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:31:00 PM

12	ConstitutionReviewProcess-MeetingwithMOCAOCICRICUNandinternationalpartners_9April2020-report_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionReviewProcess-MeetingwithMOCAOCICRICUNandinternationalpartners_9April2020-report_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:31:00 PM
13	CRSP-coordinationmeeting-8December2020-report_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRSP-coordinationmeeting-8December2020-report_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:31:00 PM
14	CRSPConsultativeMeetingReport-29June_10688_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRSPConsultativeMeetingReport-29June_10688_310.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:32:00 PM

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- ☐ 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.*
- ☐ 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence:

The project monitored identified risks through updating risk log on quarterly basis. Also, the project has done one HACT assessment on the project counterparts to identify capacity gaps and executed recommendations to address risks found by the HACT assessment. Also, the project organized regular weekly meetings with UNSOM and national counterparts and monthly and by-weekly meetings with the international partners to adequately respond to the political realities in Somalia.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	UpperHouseUNDPMicroAssesmentFinalReportDigitallySigned_10688_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/UpperHouseUNDPMicroAssesmentFinalReportDigitallySigned_10688_311.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 9:24:00 PM
2	Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_311.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:52:00 PM
3	2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_311.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:52:00 PM
4	AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_311.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:56:00 PM
5	Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_311.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 5:56:00 PM
6	HoPHACTAuditreport_10688_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/HoPHACTAuditreport_10688_311.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 7:09:00 PM

Efficient**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

Evidence:

Due to political dynamic and the ongoing electoral process, the project has faced difficulties to fundraise resources and achieve the envisioned outputs. Project resources were obtained through funds from UNDP TRAC to implement project activities as well as to achieve the intended results. Donors such Switzerland and Norway contributed through the MPTF funding window in 2018-2019 to support implementation of activities of the project.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2019_AWP_PSP_19112021_10688_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AWP_PSP_19112021_10688_312.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:11:00 PM
2	2020AWP_Parliament_FinalPOQAAApproved_10688_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2020AWP_Parliament_FinalPOQAAApproved_10688_312.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:13:00 PM
3	Copyof2021AWP_PSP_19112021_10688_312 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copyof2021AWP_PSP_19112021_10688_312.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:28:00 PM

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- ☐ 3: The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

The project developed/updated procurement plan to procure project materials or hire consultants ensuring that items/consultants are procured in a timely manner to contribute to the desired results.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	2020PSPProcurementPlan_10688_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2020PSPProcurementPlan_10688_313.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:38:00 PM
2	2021PSPProcurementPlan_10688_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2021PSPProcurementPlan_10688_313.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:39:00 PM

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- ☐ 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.*
- ☐ 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

The project regularly recorded the cost efficiencies in financial statement in the annual work plan with cost analysis for each activity results and monitored the project costs in line with the expected results of the project. Also, the project partnered with other project counterparts for coordination purpose and to avoid duplication of the activities.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_314.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:54:00 PM
2	Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_314.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:54:00 PM
3	TrainingWorkshopsforFMSParliaments_10688_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TrainingWorkshopsforFMSParliaments_10688_314.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:55:00 PM
4	Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_314.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:53:00 PM
5	2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_314 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_314.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:53:00 PM

Effective**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

☒ Yes☐ No

Evidence:

Even though there has been some challenges encountered during implementation of the project, the project delivered the expected deliverables in most of the desired results as per the approved project document and annual work plan. The project has faced implementation challenges particularly in 2021 due to ongoing indirect elections, since the parliaments have been tasked to select senators for the Upper House of the Federal Parliament. However, the project prepared the plan, having in mind the election process and in coordination with the counterparts continued with the implementation of the activities.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_315.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/24/2021 11:11:00 AM
2	2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_315.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/24/2021 11:11:00 AM
3	AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_315.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/24/2021 11:11:00 AM
4	Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_315.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/24/2021 11:11:00 AM
5	2019_AWP_PSP_II_221218_10688_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AWP_PSP_II_221218_10688_315.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/24/2021 11:13:00 AM
6	Copyof2021AWP_PSP_19112021_10688_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copyof2021AWP_PSP_19112021_10688_315.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/24/2021 11:13:00 AM
7	2020AWP_Parliament_FinalPOQAAApproved_10688_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2020AWP_Parliament_FinalPOQAAApproved_10688_315.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/24/2021 11:17:00 AM

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- ☒ 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- ☐ 2: There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- ☐ 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

The project held board meetings to review the work plan and provide strategic directions to ensure that the project is on track to achieve set results. During the board meetings, challenges were analyzed and recommendations were provided how to move forward, ensuring the impact. As stated, in 2021 the project was impacted by the indirect elections. However, in coordination with the national counterparts the project developed the plan of action to ensure delivery.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Minutes_PBM_PSP_22October2020_10688_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes_PBM_PSP_22October2020_10688_316.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:38:00 PM
2	Minutes_PBM_PSP_20March2019_10688_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes_PBM_PSP_20March2019_10688_316.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:38:00 PM
3	TrainingWorkshopsforFMSParliaments_10688_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TrainingWorkshopsforFMSParliaments_10688_316.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:56:00 PM

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- ☐ 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

The project identified and engaged the target groups including women, youth, disabled persons and other underrepresented groups in the course of the implementation of the activities and monitoring exercise to ensure that the results are achieved as planned.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	3rdAnnualSomaliWomenParliamentariansConference_REPORT_10688_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/3rdAnnualSomaliWomenParliamentariansConference_REPORT_10688_317.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 9:26:00 PM
2	Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_317.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:57:00 PM
3	2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_317.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:58:00 PM
4	AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_317.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 6:59:00 PM
5	Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_317 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_317.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 7:00:00 PM

Sustainability & National Ownership**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- ☐ 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- ☒ 2: *National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- ☐ 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

Project national partners were consulted and engaged during the design of the project document, preparation as well as revision of the Annual workplan and amendments of the letters of agreements. Also, during project monitoring and spot-check exercise, national partners and other stakeholders have been involved in the implementation and verification of the activities. The Federal Government Procurement and Concessions Bill as well the Public Financial Management Law has been used to implement project activities through Letters of Agreements with the National Partners.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Parliament_PSP_AnnualProgressReport2018_10688_318.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 7:01:00 PM
2	2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2019_AnnualReport_ParliamentarySupport_250220002_10688_318.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 7:01:00 PM
3	AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnualProgressReport2020-PSP-signed_10688_318.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 7:01:00 PM
4	Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Semi-annualProgressReport-PSP_Jan-June2021-signed_10688_318.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 7:02:00 PM
5	TrainingWorkshopsforFMSParliaments_10688_318 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TrainingWorkshopsforFMSParliaments_10688_318.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 7:02:00 PM

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation **arrangements**⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- ☐ 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- ☒ 2: *Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)*
- ☐ 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- ☐ Not Applicable

Evidence:

Attached spot-check reports.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	HouseofPeopleSpotcheckreport_10688_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/HouseofPeopleSpotcheckreport_10688_319.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 9:19:00 PM
2	HouseofPeople_10688_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/HouseofPeople_10688_319.docx)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 9:19:00 PM
3	UpperHouse_10688_319 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/UpperHouse_10688_319.docx)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 9:19:00 PM

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- ☐ 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- ☒ 2: *There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.*
- ☐ 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

The project updated plans in collaboration with UNS OM, international partners and national counterparts to ensure that results are achieved. The project has faced implementation challenges particularly in 2021 due to ongoing indirect elections, however, the project prepared the plans in coordination with the counterparts and continued with the implementation of the activities. Finally, based on the achievements the project contributed to the development of the PBF transition project as a step towards drafting of a long term programme that will address issues of constitutionalism and parliamentarism in a more sustainable manner.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SomaliaCRPPoliticalStrategyReport_10688_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SomaliaCRPPoliticalStrategyReport_10688_320.docx)	dragan.popovic@undp.org	11/23/2021 4:29:00 PM
2	ConstitutionalCommitteesReportMarch2021_Cleanversion_10688_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ConstitutionalCommitteesReportMarch2021_Cleanversion_10688_320.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/22/2021 8:45:00 PM
3	ProjectDocument-PoliticalTransitionsigned_10688_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProjectDocument-PoliticalTransitionsigned_10688_320.pdf)	dragan.popovic@undp.org	11/23/2021 4:31:00 PM
4	TrainingWorkshopsforFMSParliaments_10688_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/TrainingWorkshopsforFMSParliaments_10688_320.pdf)	abdullahi.ibrahim.ali@undp.org	11/23/2021 7:03:00 PM

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments

