

# Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

**Form Status: Approved**

<b>Overall Rating:</b>	Needs Improvement
<b>Decision:</b>	
<b>Portfolio/Project Number:</b>	00099940
<b>Portfolio/Project Title:</b>	TARABOT
<b>Portfolio/Project Date:</b>	2017-07-01 / 2022-12-31

**Strategic****Quality Rating: Exemplary**

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- 3: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

**Evidence:**

Le projet a périodiquement (une fois par an) effectué une mise-à-jour de l'analyse du contexte et de la matrice de risques ; ces deux volets ont été soumis au COPIL du projet pour validation.

>>> Evidences : comptes-rendus des COPIL 2019 ; 2020 ; 2021 avec annexes de documents de mise-à-jour du contexte et de l'analyse des risques.

La théorie de changement et le cadre des résultats ont été modifiés en cours de réalisation suite à l'identification de changements dans l'environnement externe du projet. Le COPIL de 2019 a en effet donné mandat à l'équipe de projet pour réviser le cadre de résultats de manière coordonnée avec les partenaires d'exécution. Une évaluation à mi-parcours a été réalisée en 2020 avec comme objectifs de (i) améliorer le fonctionnement et la mise en œuvre du projet et (ii) informer le nouveau cadre logique en répondant aux besoins des populations cibles de manière pertinente à la thématique de PEV. Les leçons apprises de cette évaluation à mi-parcours ont informé le développement d'une nouvelle théorie de changement et du cadre de résultats qui y est associé, lesquels ont été validés par le COPIL de 2020. Les principales modifications apportées à la stratégie d'intervention résident dans :

- (1) La désignation spécifique du rôle central de la CNLCT comme principal partenaire du projet ;
- (2) La mise en évidence des axes d'intervention liés (i) à la production et valorisation de connaissances et (ii) à l'appui à la communication institutionnelle de la CNLCT comme deux leviers d'une meilleure compréhension et appropriation des stratégies de prévention ;
- (3) L'engagement de la société civile selon une démarche consultative et participative pour la révision de la SNLCET ;
- (4) L'encadrement des actions de terrain et la société civile appuyées par le projet selon une approche de renforcement de la cohésion sociale.

>>> Evidences : comptes-rendus des COPIL de 2019 et 2020 ; rapport de revue thématique à mi-parcours du projet ; annexes au COPIL de 2020 (mise-à-jour de la théorie de changement et plan de travail plurianuel) ; cadre de résultats mis-à-jour.

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_301.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_301.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/29/2021 3:35:00 PM
2	Copil2020_10829_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_301.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_301.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/29/2021 3:35:00 PM
3	PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_301.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_301.docx</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/29/2021 3:35:00 PM
4	CadrederésultatsTarabotrevisé_10829_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CadrederésultatsTarabotrevisé_10829_301.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CadrederésultatsTarabotrevisé_10829_301.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:52:00 AM
5	RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_301.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_301.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:52:00 AM
6	ContexteetanalysedesrisquesTarabot2020_10829_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ContexteetanalysedesrisquesTarabot2020_10829_301.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ContexteetanalysedesrisquesTarabot2020_10829_301.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:51:00 AM
7	Miseàjournedelathéoriechange Tarabot2020_10829_301 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Miseàjournedelathéoriechange Tarabot2020_10829_301.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Miseàjournedelathéoriechange Tarabot2020_10829_301.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:51:00 AM

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- 3: *The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

**Evidence:**

Le projet adresses spécifiquement le contexte de développement n°3 du PNUD : renforcer la résilience aux crises et aux chocs. A ce titre, l'extrémisme violent (thématique d'intervention du projet) est identifié dans ce défi de développement comme choc et facteur de perturbation risquant d'entraver les acquis de développement et/ou d'exacerber les situations de fragilité.

Le projet adopte deux solutions distinctives du PNUD :

(1) Prévention des crises et résilience - Le projet vise au renforcement de la résilience de l'Etat et de la société face à l'extrémisme violent en Tunisie (impact). L'extrémisme violent est identifié, dans le défi de développement n°3, comme potentiel choc.

(2) Gouvernance pour des sociétés en paix, justes, et inclusives - Le projet situe sa contribution au domaine de la prévention de l'extrémisme violent en Tunisie en jouant un rôle fédérateur et structurant d'appui à la gouvernance de ce domaine. Le projet participe à l'inclusion des composantes de la société dans cette gouvernance, notamment de la société civile, des autorités locales, de la communauté scientifique et du secteur privé.

Le projet est aligné au produit 3.2.1. du plan stratégique du PNUD : "National capacities strengthened for reintegration, reconciliation, peaceful management of conflict and prevention of violent extremism in response to national policies and priorities"

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet-apps.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PEVProdocPNUDVF_10829_302.pdf">PEVProdocPNUDVF_10829_302 (https://intranet-apps.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PEVProdocPNUDVF_10829_302.pdf)</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:01:00 AM

**Relevant****Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)*
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

les bénéficiaires directs sont rencontrés chaque année (2019, 2020 et 2021) pour collecter les anecdotes et s'assurer que le projet répond aux besoins locaux . des rapports de résultats auprès des bénéficiaires sont en PJ

Le projet a conduit une évaluation à mi-parcours en 2020. Celle-ci visait, entre autres, à informer la révision du cadre de résultats et de la théorie de changement en répondant aux besoins des populations cibles. Pour ce faire, la mission d'évaluation a consulté les bénéficiaires des projets associatifs financés par le projet lors de l'appel à propositions 2019 ainsi que les associations financées dans le cadre du même appel. 8 focus groups ont été réalisés avec la participation de 75 personnes (dont ## femmes et ## jeunes). Les résultats du rapport informent ainsi sur les recommandations formulées par ces bénéficiaires en matière (1) d'appui à la participation des jeunes et des femmes dans les initiatives de PEV, et (2) de facteurs des violences à adresser prioritairement. Ces recommandations ont servi à informer la révision de la théorie de changement et du cadre de résultats du projet, validée lors du COPIL 2020.

>>> Evidences : rapport de revue thématique à mi-parcours du projet ; CR du COPIL 2020 et annexe de mise à jour de la théorie de changement ; cadre de résultats révisé

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Rapportinitiativescovid2020_10829_303 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Rapportinitiativescovid2020_10829_303.pdf">http://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Rapportinitiativescovid2020_10829_303.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:07:00 AM
2	RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_303 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_303.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_303.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:07:00 AM
3	Revuefinaledesprojetsassociatifs_10829_303 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Revuefinaledesprojetsassociatifs_10829_303.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Revuefinaledesprojetsassociatifs_10829_303.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:32:00 AM
4	Copil2020_10829_303 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_303.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_303.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:56:00 AM
5	CadrederésultatsTarabotrevisé_10829_303 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CadrederésultatsTarabotrevisé_10829_303.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CadrederésultatsTarabotrevisé_10829_303.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:56:00 AM
6	MiseàjourdelathéoriedechangementTarabot2020_10829_303 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MiseàjourdelathéoriedechangementTarabot2020_10829_303.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MiseàjourdelathéoriedechangementTarabot2020_10829_303.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:56:00 AM

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: *Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)*
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

**Evidence:**

les leçons apprises sont documentés chaque année dans les rapports annuels . des rapports intégrant des leçons apprises spécifiques à des activités sont rédigés , l'évaluation finale du projet intègre les leçons apprises et les commentaires . tout est documenté dans les comptes rendu des Copil

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/rapport2018signé_10829_304.pdf">rapport2018signé_10829_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/rapport2018signé_10829_304.pdf)</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:35:00 AM
2	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RapportdeprogrèsPEVannuel2019signé_10829_304.pdf">RapportdeprogrèsPEVannuel2019signé_10829_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RapportdeprogrèsPEVannuel2019signé_10829_304.pdf)</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:36:00 AM
3	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RapportdeprogrèsTARABOTAnnuel2020Signed_10829_304.pdf">RapportdeprogrèsTARABOTAnnuel2020Signed_10829_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RapportdeprogrèsTARABOTAnnuel2020Signed_10829_304.pdf)</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 11:03:00 AM
4	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_304.pdf">Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_304.pdf)</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/3/2021 6:22:00 PM

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: *While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).*
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

**Evidence:**

Au début de sa mise en oeuvre, le projet a atteint 365 bénéficiaires directs à Médenine, à la fin du projet s le nombre de bénéficiaires directs atteints s'élèvent à 1769 à Médenine, Tataouine et Gabes . la deuxième phase du projet va intégrer aussi d'autre régions.

une enquête pilote sur la cohésion sociale était faite au début à Médenine avec 540 enquêtés puis elle s'est propagée à Tataouine et Gabes pour 4600 enquêtés avec une possibilité d'étendu au niveau national pendant la deuxième phase du projet

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Principled****Quality Rating: Needs Improvement**

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: *The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- 1: The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

**Evidence:**

L'évaluation mi parcours du projet qui a eu lieu fin d'année 2019 a permis de recueillir des recommandations pour mieux travailler sur le côté lutte contre les inégalités et intégration de l'approche genre pendant le deuxième appel à proposition 2020 . l'évaluation finale du projet a aussi permis de reformuler le projet. Par ailleurs, des indicateurs de genre ont été ajoutés au cadre logique actuel et au cadre de résultat de la deuxième phase du projet

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_306.pdf">Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_306.pdf)</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:38:00 AM
2	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_306.pdf">RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_306 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_306.pdf)</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:39:00 AM

## 7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SES). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SES was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: *Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SES). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SES.*
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SES was not updated. (any may be true)

**Evidence:**

Prière de faire référence au SESP du portefeuille ODD16.  
Le portefeuille ODD16 et le projet sont identifiés comme à risque faible.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SESPodd16Signe18.11.19_10829_307.pdf">SESPodd16Signe18.11.19_10829_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SESPodd16Signe18.11.19_10829_307.pdf)</a>	faiza.elleuch@undp.org	1/6/2022 1:07:00 PM

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: *Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)*

**Evidence:**

Lors du prochain appel à proposition , le projet va sensibiliser les personnes affectées par le projet sur le mécanisme de responsabilisation du PNUD.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Management & Monitoring****Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- 3: *The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

**Evidence:**

le système M&E était actualisé début 2021 suite à la revue du cadre de résultat du projet. le système comporte :  
- le besoin en information  
- la matrice de M&E  
- plan annuel de M&E  
-les leçons apprises annuels  
avant 2021, le suivi et évaluation était systématisée depuis 2019: principalement suivi et évaluation des actions et mises à jours des indicateurs mesurables dans l'ancien cadre de résultat

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Matricedesuivi_10829_309 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Matricedesuivi_10829_309.xlsx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Matricedesuivi_10829_309.xlsx</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:56:00 AM
2	PlanMÉsigné_10829_309 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PlanMÉsigné_10829_309.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PlanMÉsigné_10829_309.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:56:00 AM
3	BesoinseninformationpourlesystèmeMETarabot_10829_309 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/BesoinseninformationpourlesystèmeMETarabot_10829_309.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/BesoinseninformationpourlesystèmeMETarabot_10829_309.docx</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 9:55:00 AM

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: *The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)*
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

#### Evidence:

Le mécanisme de gouvernance s'est réunit une fois par an durant la durée de vie du projet.

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_310 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_310.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_310.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/3/2021 6:26:00 PM
2	Copil2020_10829_310 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_310.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_310.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/3/2021 6:27:00 PM
3	PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_310 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_310.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_310.docx</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/3/2021 6:27:00 PM

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.*
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

#### Evidence:

La matrice des risques est mis à jours chaque année et discuté pendant les réunions du Copil

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MatricedesrisquesTarabot2021_10829_311 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MatricedesrisquesTarabot2021_10829_311.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MatricedesrisquesTarabot2021_10829_311.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/4/2021 6:51:00 PM

**Efficient****Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- Yes  
 No

**Evidence:**

Des ressources suffisantes ont été mobilisées pour atteindre les résultats escomptés. Les ressources fin ancières des différents donateurs sont toutes mobili sées. les plans de recrutement ainsi les contribution s des bailleurs sont en PJ

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Contributionsreçus_1981_212_10829_312 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAF ormDocuments/Contributionsreçus_1981_21 2_10829_312.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAF ormDocuments/Contributionsreçus_1981_21 2_10829_312.docx</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:36:00 AM
2	PlanderecrutementTARABOT2020signé_108 29_312 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Projec tQA/QAFormDocuments/Planderecrutement TARABOT2020signé_10829_312.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Projec tQA/QAFormDocuments/Planderecrutement TARABOT2020signé_10829_312.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:37:00 AM
3	PlandeRecrutement-PEV2019signé_1981_2 12_10829_312 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/app s/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PlandeRecr utement-PEV2019signé_1981_212_10829_3 12.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/app s/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PlandeRecr utement-PEV2019signé_1981_212_10829_3 12.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:37:00 AM
4	PlanderecrutementTARABOT2021signé_108 29_312 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Projec tQA/QAFormDocuments/Planderecrutement TARABOT2021signé_10829_312.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Projec tQA/QAFormDocuments/Planderecrutement TARABOT2021signé_10829_312.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 11:06:00 AM

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

**Evidence:**

Les plans d'approvisionnement sont en PJ.  
 Le projet a adapté les achats en cours d'exécution de deux façons:  
 1. Réduisant les prévisions d'achat en ligne avec les changements aux révisions de dépense/budget  
 2. Changeant les procédures d'achats appliquées sur la base des besoins et des conseils de l'équipe achats (exemple: passage d'un recrutement individuel IC à un RFP pour la baseline)

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PlandachatTARABOT2020signé_10829_313 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PlandachatTARABOT2020signé_10829_313.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PlandachatTARABOT2020signé_10829_313.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:40:00 AM
2	Plandachat-PEV2019signé_1981_213_10829_313 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Plandachat-PEV2019signé_1981_213_10829_313.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Plandachat-PEV2019signé_1981_213_10829_313.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:40:00 AM

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- 2: *The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.*
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

**Evidence:**

des révisions budgétaires sont effectués par le projet suite à une surveillance régulière des coûts visibles sur atlas ainsi que la mise en œuvre des activités conjointement avec d'autres projets ( RSS et Tamkee n) , TDR en PJ

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/NotedecadrageFormationdesCLSenpréventiondelextrémismeviolentàtraverslapolicedeproximité1_10829_314.docx">NotedecadrageFormationdesCLSenpréventiondelextrémismeviolentàtraverslapolicedeproximité1_10829_314.docx</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/4/2021 6:53:00 PM

**Effective**

**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

- Yes
- No

**Evidence:**

L'évaluation finale du projet a montré que le projet est sur la bonne voie et a produit les résultats attendus

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	<a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_315.pdf">Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_315.pdf)</a>	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:43:00 AM

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: *There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.*
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

**Evidence:**

Toutes les révisions budgétaires nécessaires ont été effectuées et discutées pendant les COPIL du projet. Les PV en PJ des COPIL montre l'accord sur ces modifications. Les leçons apprises ont été intégrées dans le projet par exemple dans l'appels à propositions de 2020 et l'enquête SCI à Tataouine et Gabes

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_316 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_316.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_316.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:44:00 AM
2	Copil2020_10829_316 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_316.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_316.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:44:00 AM

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occur in the past year.
- Not Applicable**

#### Evidence:

Les groupes cibles sont les bénéficiaires directs des partenaires associatifs du projet

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**Sustainability & National Ownership****Quality Rating: Needs Improvement**

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: *National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

Au niveau stratégique, les parties prenantes se réunissent périodiquement (une fois par an) dans le cadre du COPIL pour discuter les orientations programmatisques du projet ainsi que valider les priorités de chaque année sur la base d'une mise-à-jour annuelle du contexte et de l'analyse des risques.

>>> Evidences : CR des copil de 2019 ; 2020 ; 2021.

Les plans de travail annuels sont discutés avec les parties prenantes du projet qui les valident chaque année.

>>> Evidences : PTA signés de 2019 ; 2020 ; 2021.

Les parties prenantes du projet sont impliquées dans les processus de sélection ouverts aux organisations de la société civile et aux chercheur.e.s. Trois appels à propositions ont ainsi été étroitement coordonnés avec les parties prenantes du projet qui ont activement pris part aux comités de sélection à travers deux étapes-clefs de chaque processus, à savoir (1) la revue et l'évaluation des dossiers de candidature, et (2) les entretiens oraux avec les porteurs de projet.

>>> Evidences : PV de sélection des appels à propositions pour la société civile (2019 et 2020) ainsi que pour le fonds de recherche sur l'extrémisme violent (2019).

L'évaluation à mi-parcours et l'évaluation finale du projet ont chacune intégré des entretiens semi-directifs avec les parties prenantes du projet pour recueillir leurs perspectives vis-à-vis des résultats et apprentissages tirés de l'intervention du projet.

>>> Evidences : rapports d'évaluation à mi-parcours et final.

### List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Copil2020_10829_318 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_318.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Copil2020_10829_318.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:57:00 AM
2	CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_318 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_318.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CRCopilTARABOT08Oct2019Signé_1981_216_10829_318.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:59:00 AM
3	PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_318 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_318.docx">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PVCopilTARABOT21092021_10829_318.docx</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:59:00 AM
4	Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_318 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_318.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Voluntas_UNDP_RapportFinalEvaluationTarabot_210730_v3_10829_318.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 10:59:00 AM
5	RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_318 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_318.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RevueMiParcoursTarabot2020_10829_318.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	12/7/2021 11:00:00 AM

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation arrangements<sup>8</sup> adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: *Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)*
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

**Evidence:**

le projet a engagé un bureau spécialisé pour faire le diagnostic et le renforcement des capacités du partenaire national du projet (CNLCT) . ( PIP en PJ)

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PIP_TARABOTIIFINAL_10829_319 ( <a href="https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PIP_TARABOTIIFINAL_10829_319.pdf">https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PIP_TARABOTIIFINAL_10829_319.pdf</a> )	nesrine.ressaissi@undp.org	11/30/2021 10:46:00 AM

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- 2: There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.
- 1: *The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.*

**Evidence:**

Le projet n'a pas encore de plan de durabilité. La deuxième phase du projet est la continuité du projet.

**Management Response:**

La phase 2 du projet (Tarabot 2) prévoira un plan de transition.

**List of Uploaded Documents**

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

**QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments**

