

Closure Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Needs Improvement
Decision:	
Portfolio/Project Number:	00101751
Portfolio/Project Title:	Strengthening Supply Chain in Health Sector in Zambia
Portfolio/Project Date:	2017-01-01 / 2022-06-30

Strategic

Quality Rating: Exemplary

1. Did the project pro-actively identified changes to the external environment and incorporated them into the project strategy?

- 3: *The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives, assumptions were tested to determine if the project's strategy was valid. There is some evidence that the project board considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project team identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes did not fully integrate in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team considered these changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

Changes to the external environment were identified and addressed in the monthly project meetings for MSL Phase II and the Steering Committee meetings for MSL Hubs. The Committees considered the implications, and documented the changes needed to the project in response.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:37:00 AM
2	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:37:00 AM
3	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:38:00 AM
4	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:38:00 AM
5	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingApril2018.Tim1_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingApril2018.Tim1_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:39:00 AM
6	SignedMinutes_MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting20122017_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SignedMinutes_MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting20122017_8376_301.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:39:00 AM
7	Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_301.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:40:00 AM
8	Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:41:00 AM
9	Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:41:00 AM

10	Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:41:00 AM
11	Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:41:00 AM
12	Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:42:00 AM
13	Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:42:00 AM
14	Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:42:00 AM
15	Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:42:00 AM
16	Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:43:00 AM
17	Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:43:00 AM
18	Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:43:00 AM
19	Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_301.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:44:00 AM

20	Minutesof1stsite meetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_301 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof1stsite meetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_301.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:44:00 AM
----	--	--------------------------	---------------------

2. Was the project aligned with the thematic focus of the Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responded to at least one of the development settings as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopted at least one Signature Solution .The project's RRF included all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project responded to at least one of the developments settings1 as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)*
- 1: While the project may have responded to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside of the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

Project responded to the following:
 UNSPDF/CPD Outcome: By 2021 national institutions at all levels target, manage, coordinate and account for resources for equitable service delivery and economic growth that is based on reliable data.
 CPD Output 2.2: MoH and partner organisations have revised policies, laws, systems and institutional arrangements for equitable, accountable and effective delivery of HIV and related services.

The project's RRF included at least one SP output indicator

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	PRODOCStrengtheningSupplyChaininHealthSectorinZambia-20170406_8376_302 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCStrengtheningSupplyChaininHealthSectorinZambia-20170406_8376_302.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:50:00 AM

Relevant

Quality Rating: Exemplary

3. Were the project's targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remained relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback was collected over the project duration from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups were active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups were engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, was collected regularly to ensure the project addressed local priorities. This information was used to inform project decision making. (all must be true to select this option)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected, but this information did not inform project decision making. This option should also be selected if no beneficiary feedback was collected
- Not Applicable*

Evidence:

Not applicable

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

4. Did the project generate knowledge, and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring were discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)*
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, were considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned were collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources were identified and discussed in the monthly project meetings for MSL Phase II and Steering Committee meetings for MSL Hubs. Changes were agreed and made to the project to ensure its continued relevance.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:54:00 AM
2	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:54:00 AM
3	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:56:00 AM
4	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:56:00 AM
5	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingApril2018.Tim1_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingApril2018.Tim1_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:57:00 AM
6	SignedMinutes_MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting20122017_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SignedMinutes_MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting20122017_8376_304.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 8:57:00 AM

7	Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_304.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:13:00 AM
8	2017-04-06Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2017-04-06Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee_8376_304.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:13:00 AM
9	Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:13:00 AM
10	Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:14:00 AM
11	Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:14:00 AM
12	Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:14:00 AM
13	Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:14:00 AM
14	Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:15:00 AM
15	Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:16:00 AM
16	Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:16:00 AM

17	Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:16:00 AM
18	Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:17:00 AM
19	Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:17:00 AM
20	Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_304.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:17:00 AM
21	Minutesof1stsiteetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_304 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof1stsiteetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_304.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:17:00 AM

5. Was the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: *There was credible evidence that the project reached sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.*
- 2: While the project was not considered at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project was not at scale, and there are no plans to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

UNDP Strengthened the Supply chain management system in the Health Sector in Zambia. This was through the completion of four Medical Stores Limited (MSL) Regional Pharmaceutical Warehouses in Mpika, Choma, Chipata and Mansa. The warehouses have been fitted with alternative solar energy to ensure continued supply of power needed for storage of the essential medicines including vaccines.

Further electronic system for managing stock was installed in the four MLS to improve the supply chain management of medicines and vaccines to ensure continued supply and storage of medicines, in turn improving the health care and wellbeing of target communities in particular rural areas.

Furthermore, UNDP was able to complete installation and handover of three specialized trucks for the new MSL warehouse in Lusaka, thereby completing the support provided to the expansion of the central stores of MSL from 7,000 to 33,000 pallets. The expanded capacity will contribute to the effective and efficient supply chain services for lifesaving medicines and medical supplies in Zambia.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Principled**Quality Rating: Needs Improvement**

6. Were the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and produced the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes were made.

- 3: The project team gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team had some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: *The project team had limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.*

Evidence:

No evidence

Management Response:

Project has closed

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

7. Were social and environmental impacts and risks successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there is a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP was updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: *Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project was categorized as Low risk through the SESP.*
- 1: Social and environmental risks were tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk, there was no evidence that social and environmental assessments completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There are substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP was not updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Social and environmental risks were identified and addressed in the monthly project meetings for MSL Phase II and the Steering Committee meetings for MSL Hubs. The Committees ensured that relevant management plans were developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:25:00 AM
2	Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:25:00 AM
3	Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:26:00 AM
4	Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:26:00 AM
5	Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:26:00 AM
6	Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:27:00 AM
7	Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:28:00 AM

8	Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:28:00 AM
9	Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:27:00 AM
10	Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:27:00 AM
11	Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:28:00 AM
12	Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:29:00 AM
13	Minutesof1stsite meetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof1stsite meetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_307.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:29:00 AM
14	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:29:00 AM
15	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:30:00 AM
16	UNDPUPDATEHUBSTEERINGCOMMITTEE22November2018FINAL_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/UNDPUPDATEHUBSTEERINGCOMMITTEE22November2018FINAL_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:30:00 AM
17	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:31:00 AM

18	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_307.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:31:00 AM
19	SignedMinutes_MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting20122017_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SignedMinutes_MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting20122017_8376_307.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:32:00 AM
20	Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_307.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:33:00 AM
21	2017-04-06Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee_8376_307 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2017-04-06Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee_8376_307.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:33:00 AM

8. Were grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and were grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm was effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: Project-affected people informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project was categorized as High Risk through the SESP, a project -level grievance mechanism was in place and project affected people informed. If grievances were received, they were responded to but faced challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: *Project-affected people was not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances were received, they were not responded to. (any may be true)*

Evidence:

No evidence

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Management & Monitoring**Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory**

9. Was the project's M&E Plan adequately implemented?

- 3: The project had a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones were fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, included during evaluations and/or After-Action Reviews, were used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets were populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF was collected on a regular basis, although there was may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources was not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, met most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were captured but were used to take corrective actions. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project had M&E Plan, but costs were not clearly planned and budgeted for, or were unrealistic. Progress data was not regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations did not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned were rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project did not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

For MSL Phase II weekly formal site meetings were held to assess progress and agree on actions if needed. During the construction of the four MSL Hubs, a UNDP Clerk of Works was onsite and monthly formal progress reports were prepared and submitted to monitor progress.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MpikaHub_8376_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MpikaHub_8376_309.zip)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:59:00 AM
2	WeeklySiteMeetingsMSPPPhase2_8376_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/WeeklySiteMeetingsMSPPPhase2_8376_309.rar)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:05:00 AM
3	MansaHub_8376_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MansaHub_8376_309.zip)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:58:00 AM
4	ChipataHub_8376_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ChipataHub_8376_309.zip)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:56:00 AM
5	ChomaHub_8376_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ChomaHub_8376_309.zip)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:57:00 AM
6	MonitoringAndEvaluationPlanproject00104048StrengtheningSupplyChainManagementintheHealthSectorinZambia_8376_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MonitoringAndEvaluationPlanproject00104048StrengtheningSupplyChainManagementintheHealthSectorinZambia_8376_309.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:45:00 AM
7	PRODOCStrengtheningSupplyChaininHealthSectorinZambia-20170406_8376_309 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/PRODOCStrengtheningSupplyChaininHealthSectorinZambia-20170406_8376_309.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 9:47:00 AM

10. Was the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) function as intended?

- 3: *The project's governance mechanism operated well, and was a model for other projects. It met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings were all on file. There was regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviewed and used evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)*
- 2: The project's governance mechanism met in the agreed frequency and minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report was submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once per year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- 1: The project's governance mechanism did not meet in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent was not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

Monthly project meetings for MSL Phase II were held and the Steering Committee meeting for MSL Hub s met regularly according to plan. The minutes of the meetings are all on file (please find attached). There was regular annual progress reporting to the project board on results, risks and opportunities through for mal Annual Reports (attached). It is clear from the m inutes (attached) that the project board explicitly revi ewed and used evidence, including progress data, k nowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:09:00 AM
2	Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:09:00 AM
3	Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:09:00 AM

4	Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:09:00 AM
5	Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:10:00 AM
6	Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:10:00 AM
7	Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:10:00 AM
8	Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:10:00 AM
9	Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:11:00 AM
10	Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:11:00 AM
11	Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:11:00 AM
12	Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:11:00 AM
13	Minutesof1stsite meetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof1stsite meetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_310.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:12:00 AM

14	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:12:00 AM
15	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:12:00 AM
16	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:13:00 AM
17	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:13:00 AM
18	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingApril2018.Tim1_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingApril2018.Tim1_8376_310.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:14:00 AM
19	Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_310.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:14:00 AM
20	2017-04-06Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2017-04-06Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee_8376_310.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:15:00 AM
21	Annual_Report2020_Mansa_Mpika_Chipta_Choma_MOH_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annual_Report2020_Mansa_Mpika_Chipta_Choma_MOH_8376_310.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:21:00 AM
22	Annual_Report2019_Mansa_Mpika_Chipta_Choma_MOHFINAL_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annual_Report2019_Mansa_Mpika_Chipta_Choma_MOHFINAL_8376_310.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:21:00 AM

23	Annual_Report2019_MSLPhaseII_Final_version_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annual_Report2019_MSLPhaseII_Final_version_8376_310.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:22:00 AM
24	Annual_Report2018_Mansa_Mpika_ChIPTA_MOH_HSSFinalversion_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annual_Report2018_Mansa_Mpika_ChIPTA_MOH_HSSFinalversion_8376_310.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:22:00 AM
25	Annual_Report2018_ChomaHub_HSS_CHAZ_Finalversion_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annual_Report2018_ChomaHub_HSS_CHAZ_Finalversion_8376_310.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:23:00 AM
26	Annual_Report2018_MSLPhaseII_HSSFinalversion_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Annual_Report2018_MSLPhaseII_HSSFinalversion_8376_310.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:23:00 AM
27	BuildingSRsCapacity-ReportSSFGrantPhaseII2016-17_8376_310 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/BuildingSRsCapacity-ReportSSFGrantPhaseII2016-17_8376_310.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:24:00 AM

11. Were risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: *The project monitored risks every quarter and consulted with the key stakeholders, security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks to assess if the main assumptions remained valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates were made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log was not updated as required. There was may be some evidence that the project monitored risks that may affected the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions were taken to mitigate risks.

Evidence:

Risks were identified and addressed in the monthly project meetings for MSL Phase II and the regular Steering Committee meetings for MSL Hubs. The Committees ensured that relevant management plans and mitigating measures were fully implemented to address each key project risk and were updated to reflect the latest risk assessment (see attached minutes of the meetings).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-11December2019_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:31:00 AM
2	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingminutes-24thApril2019_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:32:00 AM
3	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting-19thSeptember2018_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:32:00 AM
4	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingmay2018final_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:33:00 AM
5	MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingApril2018.Tim1_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeetingApril2018.Tim1_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:33:00 AM
6	SignedMinutes_MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting20122017_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SignedMinutes_MSLHubsSteeringCommitteeMeeting20122017_8376_311.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:33:00 AM

7	Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee13-09-2017_8376_311.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:34:00 AM
8	2017-04-06Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2017-04-06Minutes-Hubsteeringcommittee_8376_311.doc)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:34:00 AM
9	Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof13thMonthlyProgressAprilMay2019_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:35:00 AM
10	Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof12thMonthlyProgressMarch2019_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:35:00 AM
11	Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof11thMonthlyProgressFebruary2019_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:35:00 AM
12	Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof10thMonthlyProgressJanuary2019_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:36:00 AM
13	Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof9thMonthlyProgressDecember2018_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:36:00 AM
14	Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof8thMonthlyProgressNovember2018_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:36:00 AM
15	Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof7thMonthlyProgressOctober2018_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:36:00 AM
16	Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof6thMonthlyProgressSeptember2018_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:37:00 AM

17	Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof5thMonthlyProgressAugust2018_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:37:00 AM
18	Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof4thMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:37:00 AM
19	Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof3rdMonthlyProgressJune2018_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:37:00 AM
20	Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof2ndMonthlyProgressMay2018-CORRECTED_8376_311.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:38:00 AM
21	Minutesof1stsite meetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_311 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/Minutesof1stsite meetingApril2018SIGNED_8376_311.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:38:00 AM

Efficient**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

12. Adequate resources were mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- Yes
 No

Evidence:

Construction works on the four Hubs were successfully completed in 2020. In addition, UNDP was able to complete installation and handover of three specialized trucks for the new MSL warehouse in Lusaka, thereby completing the support provided to the expansion of the central stores of MSL from 7,000 to 33,000 pallets.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

13. Were project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: The project had a procurement plan and kept it updated. The project quarterly reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project had updated procurement plan. The project annually reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addressed them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 1: The project did not have an updated procurement plan. The project team may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs regularly, however management actions were not taken to address them.

Evidence:

Procurement Plan was kept updated online on PRO MPT from 2020 onwards. Before procurement plans were prepared in Excel (see attached).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MSLHubsProcurementPlan2020_8376_313 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA/FormDocuments/MSLHubsProcurementPlan2020_8376_313.xlsx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 10:44:00 AM

14. Was there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies, taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviewed costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximized results delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinated with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and sought efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)
- 2: The project monitored its own costs and gave anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there was no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinated activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- 1: *There is little or no evidence that the project monitored its own costs and considered ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.*

Evidence:

Little or no evidence

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Effective

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

15. Was the project on track and delivered its expected outputs?

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

The new very narrow aisle (VNA) warehouse, dangerous goods store, driver's waiting room, administration support and customer support buildings were completed and handed over as of 31st December 2018 as planned, with one year Default Liability Period. The contractor has been able to attend to all the defects and outstanding works that were registered on the Snag List and that were subsequently identified. The Final Certificate of Handover and Acceptance was signed 31 December 2019.

Mansa hub was partially handed over on 18th May 2018 and MSL began operations on 1st June 2018. T

ne partial handover was due to delay in arrival of long lead-time main air-conditioning units. The full handover was affected on 25th August 2018. The facility was commissioned by the Republican President on 8th April, 2019. The final completion Certificate was issued on 23rd October, 2019 and the Final Acceptance Form duly signed by the key stakeholders.

The Choma hub was partially handed over on 14th April 2018 and MSL began operations on 1st May 2018. The partial handover was due to delayed arrival of long-lead time main air-conditioning units. The full handover was affected on 1st September 2018 after the two main air-conditioning units had been installed. The final Completion Certificate was issued on 7th October, 2019 and the Final Acceptance Form was duly signed by all key stakeholders.

Chipata hub was fully handed over on 31st May 2018 and MSL began operations on 1st July 2018. The initial Defects Liability Period (DLP) period ran until 30 June 2019, but UNDP further extended the DLP up to 30 June 2020 for failure by the contractor to cater for the works being undertaken by the specialist contractors. UNDP engaged contractors directly to rectify these deficits and all the specialized equipment was installed and/or repaired in 2020.

Mpika hub handover experienced further delays and was only fully handed over on 29th October 2018 and MSL began operations on 1st November 2018. The facility was commissioned by the Republican President on 8th April, 2019. The initial Defects Liability Period (DLP) period ran until 31 October 2019, UNDP further extended the Defects Liability Period (DLP) up to 30 June, 2020 for failure by the contractor to deal with incidences of repair to the dock leveller and also to cater for the works being undertaken by the specialist contractors. UNDP engaged contractors directly to rectify these deficits and all the specialized equipment was installed and/or repaired in 2020.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SignedFullHandoverForm_ChomaHub__8376_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SignedFullHandoverForm_ChomaHub__8376_315.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:24:00 PM
2	HandoverFormsforChipataHubsSigned_8376_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/HandoverFormsforChipataHubsSigned_8376_315.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:24:00 PM
3	HandoverFormMpikaMSLMedicalWarehouseHub_8376_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/HandoverFormMpikaMSLMedicalWarehouseHub_8376_315.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:23:00 PM
4	FullHandoverFormMansaMSLMedicalWarehouseHub_8376_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/FullHandoverFormMansaMSLMedicalWarehouseHub_8376_315.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:23:00 PM
5	FinalCertificateofHandoverAcceptanceSIGNED31December2019_8376_315 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/FinalCertificateofHandoverAcceptanceSIGNED31December2019_8376_315.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:26:00 PM

16. Were there regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project was on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented were most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations /or After-Action Reviews) were used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions were made. (both must be true)
- 2: *There was at least one review of the work plan per year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned were used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.*
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs were delivered on time, no link was made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management took place.

Evidence:

The work plan was reviewed and adjusted every year with a view to assessing if project activities were on track to achieving the desired development results. Data or lessons learned were used to inform the reviews and necessary budget revisions were made.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	AnnualWorkplan2017-19FINAL_8376_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnualWorkplan2017-19FINAL_8376_316.docx)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 3:21:00 PM
2	AWP_2021_StrengtheningSupplyChainManagementinZambiaSIGNED_8376_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AWP_2021_StrengtheningSupplyChainManagementinZambiaSIGNED_8376_316.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 3:20:00 PM
3	AWP_2020_StrengtheningSupplyChainManagementinZambiaSIGNED_8376_316 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AWP_2020_StrengtheningSupplyChainManagementinZambiaSIGNED_8376_316.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 3:21:00 PM

17. Were the targeted groups systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results were achieved as expected?

- 3: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups were reached as intended. The project engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they benefited as expected and adjustments were made if necessary, to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project targeted specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There was some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they were benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project did not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are populations have capacity needs or are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There is some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they benefited as expected, but it was limited or did not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable**

Evidence:

Not applicable

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Sustainability & National Ownership**Quality Rating: Satisfactory**

18. Were stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners were fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: *National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) were used to implement and monitor the project (such as country office support or project systems) were also used, if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners were actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- 1: There was relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

UNDP was engaged by the Government of Zambia to implement these activities through Government Cost Sharing so UNDP systems were used for the procurement of services. All relevant stakeholders and partners were however actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

19. Were there regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed, and were the implementation [arrangements](#)⁸ adjusted according to changes in partner capacities?

- 3: Changes in capacities and performance of national institutions and systems were assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements were formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 2: Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems were monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment was made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (all must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable*

Evidence:

UNDP was engaged by the Government of Zambia to implement these activities through Government Cost Sharing so UNDP systems were used for the procurement of services.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

20. Were the transition and phase-out arrangements were reviewed and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitment and capacity).

- 3: The project's governance mechanism regularly reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan was implemented as planned by the end of the project, taking into account any adjustments made during implementation. (both must be true)
- 2: *There was a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project remained on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.*
- 1: The project may have had a sustainability plan but there was no review of this strategy after it was developed. Also select this option if the project did not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

MSL was provided with and signed off handover forms (attached) certifying to have received all the relevant documentation for the construction and equipment for them to be able to take on the operations and maintenance.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	HandoverFormsforChipataHubsSigned_8376_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/HandoverFormsforChipataHubsSigned_8376_320.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:12:00 PM
2	SignedFullHandoverForm_ChomaHub__8376_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SignedFullHandoverForm_ChomaHub__8376_320.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:13:00 PM
3	FinalCertificateofHandoverAcceptanceSIGNED31December2019_8376_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/FinalCertificateofHandoverAcceptanceSIGNED31December2019_8376_320.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 11:37:00 AM
4	FullHandoverFormMansaMSLMedicalWarehouseHub_8376_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/FullHandoverFormMansaMSLMedicalWarehouseHub_8376_320.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:13:00 PM
5	HandoverFormMpikaMSLMedicalWarehouseHub_8376_320 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/HandoverFormMpikaMSLMedicalWarehouseHub_8376_320.pdf)	jan.vandenbroek@undp.org	5/6/2021 2:14:00 PM

QA Summary/Final Project Board Comments